



# *CREATION ANTAGONISTS' WITNESSES*

*DEVASTATING FACTS CONFESSED BY DEVOUT EVOLUTION  
DISCIPLES AT RARE HONEST MOMENTS. CREATIONISTS  
MUST TREASURE TO BE WELL PREPARED AT ALL TIMES*

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE DR. DON PATTON OF ICR FOR HIS “HOSTILE WITNESS (9) THE FOSSIL RECORD: CREATION OR EVOLUTION?” – HIS LECTURE GAVE ME RICH MATERIAL FOR THIS PRESENTATION. ALSO I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE GENESIS APOLOGETICS ([WWW.GENESISAPOLOGETICS.COM](http://WWW.GENESISAPOLOGETICS.COM)), ANSWERS IN GENESIS ([WWW.ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG](http://WWW.ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG)), THE INSTITUTE FOR CREATION RESEARCH ([WWW.ICR.ORG](http://WWW.ICR.ORG)), CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([WWW.CREATION.COM](http://WWW.CREATION.COM)), AND CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([HTTPS://DRDINO.COM/](https://DRDINO.COM/)). MUCH OF THE CONTENT HEREIN HAS BEEN DRAWN FROM (AND IS MEANT TO BE IN ALIGNMENT WITH) THESE BIBLICAL CREATION MINISTRIES.*

*MY DEEP GRATITUDE ALSO TO MANY DEAR FRIENDS FOR THEIR INVALUABLE ADVICE AND ENCOURAGEMENT WHO PREFERRED TO BE ANONYMOUS.*

*AT LAST BUT NOT LEAST I AM GRATEFUL TO MY DAUGHTER IRINA ISHAK FOR HER EDITING MUCH OF MY WRITING TO MAKE IT READABLE.*

# *APOLOGETICS PRESENTATION SERIES*

This is the fourth presentation in the Apologetics presentation series. The purpose of this series is to prepare our kids and youth to survive the vicious attacks on Christianity and God in all the education and media system. We will post a new presentation every 2-3 weeks. Look for subjects as:

Did Science Kill God? (posted)  
Where are we Heading (posted)  
Big Bang or smoke screen (posted)  
Creation antagonists witness (current)  
Evolution or delusion  
Evolution Plight  
It is Young World After all  
Lies into Facts – Grand Canyon  
Reclaiming Culture  
Satanic Aluminat – Doctrine that Damned America  
Scientific facts in The Bible  
Six days Creation  
The Trojan of Deep Time

Iron Clad Evidence  
Humanoid- Myth or Truth  
The Incredible Design of the Human Body  
The Amazing Created Human Brain (current)  
The Amazing Created Human Heart  
The Amazing Created Human Respiratory System  
The Amazing Created Human Digestive System  
The Amazing Created Human DNA  
The Amazing Created Human Ear  
The Amazing Created Human Eye  
The Amazing Created Human Reproduction Process  
Intelligent Design  
A Scientific Dissent from Darwinism

# *WHY THIS SERIES?*

The purpose of this series is to **teach Apologetics** to our people. It is very important that we equip our self by the right information about the **existence of God & the authenticity and infallibility of His book all with evidence from science**. This kind of education has never been **so badly needed** as it is now **for our kids and youth survive the vicious attacks on the Bible and God** in all walks of life, be it school system, media, press, books, museums, colleges, social, entertainment, ...etc. This vicious attack, although as old as creation itself, it increased during the enlightenment age but **it sky-rocketed since the 1970's**. The root cause of all this attacks **unquestionably is the evolution theory** which replaced the godly creation by godless one and therefor there is no need for a God with all the moral and legal responsibility to submit to His authority and commands and not living sinful life.

This series will have sections **refuting evolution** be it cosmic, chemical, astronomical, organic, and biological. Other sections **discussing all-or-nothing complex systems that are impossible to explain through bit-by-bit random evolutionary processes as incredible examples of God's handiwork in His creation**.

**This presentation will show samples of evolution gurus' statements against evolution and its feasibility.** Glimpses of godly creation evidence will be given as appropriate.



IT IS INCOMPREHENSIBLE AND BEYOND IMAGINATION THAT AFTER PLENTIFUL DISCOVERIES OF SOFT TISSUES AND BLOOD IN PARTIALLY- OR UN-FOSSILIZED DINOSAUR REMAINS THAT PALEONTOLOGY AND RELATED SCIENCE DISCIPLINES STILL MAINTAIN THAT DINOSAURS LIVED MILLIONS OF YEARS AGO AND REFUSE TO OBJECTIVELY AND SCIENTIFICALLY FACE THE EVIDENCE OF YOUNG EARTH. SPECIALLY THAT  $C^{14}$  TESTING ON FOSSILS YIELDS RESULTS OF 50,000 YEARS OLD OR YOUNGER. MAJORITY OF AGING PROCESSES YIELD YOUNG EARTH AND UNIVERSE. RADIOMETRIC AGING PROCESSES YIELD ERRONEOUS INCONSISTENT AND UNRELIABLE AGE OF KNOWN LAVA SAMPLES. SCIENCE SHOULD BE UNBIASED AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE IN ITS GOAL OF UNCOVERING FACTS. OTHERWISE IT DOES NOT WARRANT OUR TRUST. IMAGINE IF A SIMILAR DISREGARD FOR THE SCIENTIFIC PROCESS EXISTED IN PHARMACEUTICAL OR MEDICAL AREAS, AND THE DANGERS OF A NEWLY DEVELOPED DRUG OR SURGERY WAS HIDDEN FROM PATIENTS AND NOT DISCLOSED IN SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE TO AVOID LOSS OF SOME INVESTMENTS. IN THAT CASE WE WOULD LOSE OUR TRUST IN THEM. THE SAME GOES FOR ALL SCIENTIFIC AREAS. THIS PRESENTATION LISTS SAMPLES OF DEVASTATING FACTS CONFESSED BY DEVOUT EVOLUTION DISCIPLES AT RARE HONEST MOMENTS. CREATIONISTS MUST TREASURE TO BE WELL PREPARED AT ALL TIMES. BEFORE WE DELVE INTO THE WITNESSES, WE WILL HAVE A QUICK GLIMPSE INTO ONE OF THE MOST DEVASTATING FINDINGS IN PALEONTOLOGY SCIENCE FOR MILLIONS OF YEARS SAGA. UNFORTUNATELY NEITHER THE SOFT TISSUES AND BLOOD OF DINOSAURS NEITHER ANY OF THE STATEMENT SHOWN HERE FOUND THEIR WAYS TO THE TEXTBOOKS.

# Liberal Logic 101



I've made up my mind;  
don't confuse me with facts.

A GROWING NUMBER OF  
**SCIENTISTS ARE SKEPTICAL**  
OF **DARWINIAN THEORY.**








Please move the mouse on the picture above so that the play button appears.



# ***BLOOD AND SOFT TISSUE IN T. REX BONE***

## Sample article links:

- 01 Dec 1993 [Dinosaur bone blood cells found](#)
- 01 Sep 1997 [Sensational dinosaur blood report!](#)
- 25 Mar 2002 [Evolutionist questions CMI report—Have red blood cells really been found in \*T. rex\* fossils?](#)
- 25 Mar 2005 [Still soft and stretchy: Dinosaur soft tissue find—a stunning rebuttal of 'millions of years'](#)
- 28 Mar 2005 ["Ostrich-osaurus" discovery?](#)
- 16 May 2005 [Squirming at the Squishosaur](#)
- 01 Sep 2005 [Dino soft tissue find](#)
- 01 Dec 2005 [Answering objections to creationist 'dinosaur soft tissue' age arguments](#)
- 19 Jul 2006 ['Schweitzer's Dangerous Discovery'](#)
- 16 Dec 2006 [Why don't they carbon-test dino fossils?](#)
- 20 Apr 2007 [Squishosaur scepticism squashed: Tests confirm proteins found in \*T. rex\* bones](#)
- 02 Aug 2008 [Doubting doubts about the Squishosaur](#)
- 06 May 2009 [Dinosaur soft tissue and protein—even more confirmation!](#)
- 01 Dec 2009 [More confirmation for dinosaur soft tissue and protein](#)




# ***BLOOD AND SOFT TISSUE IN T. REX BONE***

- **Other examples of soft tissue preservation in fossils:**
- 01 Aug 1998 [Exceptional soft-tissue preservation in a fossilised dinosaur](#)
- 30 May 2000 ['Sue' the T. rex: another 'missionary lizard'](#)
- 01 Dec 2002 [Feathered or furry dinosaurs? Soft tissue preservation](#)
- 01 Apr 2004 [Bone building: perfect protein](#)
- 01 Apr 2006 [A fossil is a fossil is a fossil. Right?](#)
- 07 Dec 2007 [Hadrosaur hi-jinx: Will this find reveal more unfossilised soft tissues?](#)
- 01 Jun 2008 [The real 'Jurassic Park'](#)
- 11 Nov 2009 [Best ever find of soft tissue \(muscle and blood\) in a fossil](#)
- [Dinosaur Questions and Answers](#)
- ['Young' age of the Earth & Universe Q&A](#)

# BLOOD AND SOFT TISSUES IN DINOSAUR FOSSILS

11



Please move the mouse on the picture above so that the play button appears.



# WHAT IS IT ABOUT

12


The wetness of an antagonistic wetness is much more valuable than a friendly wetness.

School textbooks, college programs, museums, culture and education programs are saturated with Evolution myths presented as facts.

The results are globally and socially devastating;

Globally: The bloodiest Century in human history.

Socially: explosion of drug abuse, teens pregnancy, abortion, euthanasia, gay marriage, street violence,...etc.



Questions from  
students in Europe:

How **life came from non-life**,  
the gap of morality,  
the gap of consciousness,  
and the gap of sexuality."

# *EVOLUTION GABS*

This presentation is a collection of facts that are devastating for the evolution, given by evolutionists gurus.

These facts were admitted by biggest names in the evolution arena, such as **Darwin himself, Richard Dawkins, Stephen J. Gould, Battan, J.Z. Young, David M. Raup, Clin Patterson, George G. Simpson, Berker Ager**, and more

All these are top in their fields in most prestigious Universities and Research Centers.

Video: Evolution Gabs

Please move the mouse on the picture above so that the play button appears.

# *ALL THE PHYLA RIGHT FROM FIRST DAY*

14

14


Rich is restating what God wrote by the hand of Moses over 3,400 years ago:

Gen 1:12 “..grass, and herb yielding seed after his kind, and the tree **yielding fruit, whose seed was in itself**”

Gen 1:21 “..every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, **after their kind..**”

Gen 1:24 “cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth **after his kind?**”


This is the closest you can get to say: “**Each kind has its own unique DNA**”



**“Beginning”**  
RICHARD DAWKINS  
Cambridge

“And we find many of them **already** in an **advanced** state of evolution, the very first time they appear. It is as though they were just planted there, **without any evolutionary history**. Needless to say, this appearance of sudden planting has **delighted creationists.**”

*The Blind Watchmaker*  
1986, p.229





# *CONFIRMED BY GOULD (AT LEAST TWICE ☺)*



## **"Beginning"**

STEPHEN J. GOULD  
Harvard

"Since the so called Cambrian Explosion... **no new Phyla** of animals have entered the fossil record."

Lecture at SMU, 10/2/1990



## **"Beginning"**

STEPHEN J. GOULD  
Harvard

"The **Cambrian Explosion** occurred in a geological moment, and we have reason to think that **all major anatomical designs** may have made their evolutionary appearance at that time. ...not only the phylum **Chordata** itself, but also **all its major divisions...**"

*Nature*

Vol.377, 26 10/95, p.682




# HOWEVER!

16

However National geographic claims the Cambrian explosion of life is just primitive organisms. Likewise all textbooks and museums

Trilobite is the most common fossil during the Cambrian Explosion, it has very complex eyes, & still look the same today





# SECRET CONFESSIONS

Our God made it right the first time,  
He did not need to improve or  
revise His creations.

Some of the untold  
evolutionists' confessions:



## "Beginning"

### Trilobite Eyes

"...trilobites may have been **superior** to current living animals. They had, in principle, perfect vision: They possessed **the most sophisticated** eye lenses ever produced by nature. ...**look like they were designed** by a physicist."

*Science News*  
Vol.105, 2/2, 1974



## Evolutionists Describe "Beginning"

Era	Period / Epoch	Time (Millions of years ago)
CAENOZOIC	Pleistocene	1.8
	Pliocene	5
	Miocene	20
	Oligocene	30
	Eocene	55
MESOZOIC	Paleocene	65
	Cretaceous	144
	Jurassic	213
	Triassic	252
PALAEOZOIC	Permian	298
	Carboniferous	361
	Devonian	412
	Silurian	443
	Ordovician	485
	Cambrian	570
	Precambrian	570

"Complex— suddenly  
right at the start"

"Advanced -- jut planted  
without evolutionary history"

Land plants "sixty genera"  
Vascular plants: "Advanced"

"all the major anatomical designs  
Chordate -- all the major diviations"

"Vertebrates from WY, OK, WA, NY, ID, AR"


"since Cambrian explosion -- no new phyla"



# TREES OF LIFE

Era	Period / Epoch	Age
CAENOZOIC	Pleistocene	1.8
	Pliocene	5
	Miocene	25
	Oligocene	38
	Eocene	55
	Palaeocene	65
MESOZOIC	Cretaceous	144
	Jurassic	213
	Triassic	248
PALAEOZOIC	Permian	286
	Carboniferous	354
	Devonian	412
	Silurian	435
	Ordovician	492
	Cambrian	570
	Precambrian	Millions of years

Copyright © 1997 The British Fossil Company Ltd.




Reference: Students ask: "Is the evolutionary 'tree of life' real?", Genesis Apologetics

# *LIVING FOSSILS*

19

There are literally dozens upon dozens of living fossils, but these are some of the most fascinating:

Cyanobacteria



Lobed Comb Jelly



Coelacanth



Elephant Sharks



Crocodiles



Horseshoe Crabs





# *MORE LIVING FOSSILS*

Fig Wasps



Maidenhair tree, or Ginkgo biloba



Cycads



Another Elephant Sharks



Nautilus



Platypus





Take this  
with grain  
of salt, it is  
made by  
evolutioni  
st

17 Oldest  
Living  
Fossils  
Refute  
Evolution

Never-  
mind the  
ages, none is  
more than  
6000 y



*M  
O  
V  
I  
E  
  
T  
I  
M  
E*



Please move the mouse on the picture above so that the play button appears.

# ***EVOLUTIONARY TREE OR SPECIATION ORCHARDS?***

Despite the clear evidence that all the phyla existed right from the beginning, School textbooks, college programs, museums, culture and magazines are saturated with Evolution trees as undisputable fact.

It is scientific fraud to teach common simple start and phyla branches come out of it while

It is more like orchard than a tree.





C  
A  
M  
B  
R  
I  
A  
N  
  
E  
X  
P  
L  
O  
S  
I  
O  
N

23

*M  
O  
V  
I  
E  
  
T  
I  
M  
E*

Reference:

# *STEPHEN GOULD & THE TREE OF LIFE*<sup>24</sup>



## **Not From Fossils**

STEPHEN J. GOULD  
Harvard

“The **evolutionary trees** that adorn our textbooks have data only at the tips and nodes of their branches; the rest is inference, however reasonable, **not the evidence of the fossils.**”

*Natural History*, V.86, p.13



*WHAT DOES  
“**INFERENCE**”  
HAVE TO DO  
WITH  
**SCIENCE?***



# ***RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING***

25



## **“Distinct”**

“...demonstrates that the large animal phyla of today were present already in the **early Cambrian** and that they were as **distinct** from each other **as they are today**...a menagerie of clam cousins, sponges, segmented worms, and other invertebrates that would seem vaguely **familiar to any scuba diver.**”

*Discover*, p.40, 4/93



As the Bible said it over 3,400 years ago right from the **beginning** each kind (= phylum) “**after its kind**”, and for the plants “its seeds (i.e., in it”. Not less than ten times “**after its kind**” is repeated, the closest to “**DNA**” you can get in layman language.

Genesis 1

# SPECIATION?

26



## DOWNHILL

STEPHEN J. GOULD, Harvard

“The sweep of anatomical **diversity** reached a **maximum** right after the initial diversification of multicellular animals. The later history of life proceeded by **elimination not expansion.**”

WONDERFUL LIFE

1989, p.46




How and Why did God design “speciation”: God, in His infinite wisdom, have created living organisms with incredible **embedded capability of producing off springs with different characteristics** (genes) yet the same DNA (kind). For example, a single human couple offspring genes are one out of  $10^{1064}$  **possible variations**. Compare this number to  $10^{80}$ , the estimated number of particles in the seen universe. This vast variety is enabled by the **huge number of gene (the building block of the DNA) variations**. This capability enables **living organism to adapt to changing environment**. These changes are **controlled by Epigenetics** which choses the right genes within the parent's DNA contributed halves. This choice can be directed by predictive sensory system for the mothers' environment.

This capability is the subject of most recent researches “Continuous Environment Tracking System” . See Reference in the footnote.

# EPIGENETICS AND NATURAL SELECTION

27

*M*  
*O*  
*V*  
*I*  
*E*  
  
*T*  
*I*  
*M*  
*E*



Reference:



# ARBITRARY ARRANGEMENT!

28

## SOURCE OF TREES?

DOTT, U. of WI & BATTEN, Columbia, AMNH

“We have arranged the groups in a traditional way with the ‘simplest’ forms first, and progressively more complex groups following. This particular arrangement is arbitrary and depends on what definition of ‘complexity’ you wish to choose.

...things are alike because they are related, and the less they look alike, the further removed they are from their common ancestor.”


*Evolution Of The Earth p.602*



Here are two leading evolutionists admit that evolution tree is arbitrary, i.e., fictitious.

# ***BUT AREN'T OUR DNA SIMILAR TO MANY OTHERS?***

29



Obvious similarity between us and others lead to similar DNA. This obvious similarity is not due to common ancestor but common designer.



# DNA, DESIGNER'S FINGERPRINTS

30



## Sheepish Ancestors?

"Sue Galloway, of the University of Otago, who led the team that included scientists at Helsinki University in Finland, was quoted as saying, " **Sheep** are human, basically. **Ninety-eight per cent** of our genes are **the same.**"

*Electronic Telegraph, 7/ 4/2000*



These used to be claimed percentage similarities were inflated because large part of the human DNA, and generally different living organisms, was considered **erroneously junk DNA** and therefore do not count. Even if this was correct it doesn't mean the difference is trivial. **1% DNA difference account for 30,000,000 different nucleotides.** This is an incredible difference when we know **that just 3 different nucleotides is fatal.**

Recent accurate studies showed the difference to "our closest relative" is not less than 30%. Evolutionists consider a difference more than 1% **EXCLUDES** the possibility of common ancestor, how much more for 30%?

See more specific data PTO.



# ICR - That's a Fact - Chimp DNA and Biblical Creation


31




*M  
O  
V  
I  
E  
  
T  
I  
M  
E*


Reference: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v0\\_LTJq-dwA&list=PLQYTrlg3VLy8wqldPTEGTn\\_ucR0tLDeG-&index=4.com/watch?v=BA\\_s-MB3DQw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v0_LTJq-dwA&list=PLQYTrlg3VLy8wqldPTEGTn_ucR0tLDeG-&index=4.com/watch?v=BA_s-MB3DQw)

# ***DNA, DESIGNER'S FINGERPRINTS***




genome.gov  
National Human Genome Research Institute  
National Institutes of Health

 “Overall, mice and humans share virtually the same set of genes. ...On average, the protein-coding regions of the mouse and human genomes are **85 percent** identical; some genes are **99 percent** identical...” (9/2006)



An architect uses practically the same building material to build all different buildings, this doesn't mean the buildings are relatives.

# ***DO THE LOOKS MATTER?***



Here are a **reptile** and a **mammal**. Both look like fish, however **none of them is a fish**. Similarity doesn't necessarily mean a lot.



# *MOSAIC CREATURES*



- God must have **sense of humor** to through here and there a creature or two with features crossing several classifications like this Australian Platypus:
  - Duck-billed,
  - Semiaquatic,
  - Egg-laying mammal,
  - beaver-tailed,
  - otter-footed mammal.
  - one of the few species of venomous mammals,
  - male platypus has a spur on the hind foot that delivers a venom capable of causing severe pain to humans.
  - Platypus is a living fossil.
- What proves too much proves nothing!**

# *SIMILARITIES*

35

## UNRELATED SIMILARITIES

J.Z.Young, Professor of Anatomy, Oxford

“...similar features repeatedly appear in distinct lines. ...so common that it is almost a rule that detailed study of any group produces a confused taxonomy. Investigators are unable to distinguish populations that are parallel...from those truly descended from each other.”

*Life Of The Vertebrates*  
p.779



In another words, if similarity helps evolution scenario, it is used, if it does not it is not used and is named “parallel”, sort of elastic standard. This is not good science. Cherry picking similarities to build up a scenario doesn't mean this scenario happened unless it is proven otherwise.



# ***RELATIVES? NOT A CHANCE***<sup>36</sup>

## **MAN'S RELATIVES?**

Blood Serum.....**Chimpanzee**  
(If "right" antiserum used)  
Milk Chemistry.....**Donkey**  
Cholesterol.....**Garter Snake**  
Foot Structure.....**Glacial Bear**  
Tear Enzyme.....**Chicken**  
Blood Antigen A.....**Butter Bean**  
Brain Hormone .....**Cockroach**



Common  
designer  
equals  
common  
building  
blocks.



# *EVOLUTION EVIDENCE?*

37

## FOSSILS

### "The Evidence"

#### Critical Beginning

Complex, Abrupt, Diverse  
All Phyla - None New Since

#### "Trees Of Life"

Selected Similarities  
(*Not Fossils, Embryology, Genetics*)

Similarities Reveal Mosaic Pattern  
(*Not Branching*)



The fossil record supports creation model, it does not support evolution model.

Science books made up the trees of life based on "wishful thinking of gradual complexity appearance".

# *STASIS!*



## **“Stasis”**

**STEPHEN J. GOULD**  
**Harvard**

**“Every paleontologist knows that most **species don't change**. That's **bothersome** ...brings terrible **distress**.**

**Lecture at  
Hobart & William Smith College  
14/2/1980**



Late Stephen J. Gould, one of most evolution advocates:  
**Evolution can be proven only by transitional fossils** which are no where to find.

# *I THINK HE IS GETTING IT*



## **No Progress**

STEPHEN J. GOULD

Harvard

"I regard the **failure** to find a clear 'vector of progress' in life's history as the most **puzzling fact** of the fossil record. ...we have sought to impose a pattern that we hoped to find on a **world that does not really display it.**"

*Natural History*, 2/82, p.2



After discovering millions and millions of fossils **still there are no transitional fossils.** Admit it Steve, it is creation and not evolution.



# *NO SPACE FOR IMPROVEMENT*<sup>40</sup>



## **“Designs”**

STEPHEN J. GOULD  
Harvard


“We can tell tales of improvement for some groups, but in honest moments we must admit that the history of complex life is more a story of multifarious variation about a set of basic designs than a saga of accumulating excellence.”

*Natural History*, 2/82, p.2



Yes Steve because  
God gets it right  
the first time.

# *DARWIN KNEW IT*



## Darwin's Problem


“...innumerable **transitional forms** must have existed but **why** do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?”

*Origin of the Species*



Over 150 years ago the prophet of evolution had the same question and was hoping the transitional fossils will be found in the future. **After millions upon millions of fossils not transition whatsoever.**

# ***THEORY OR DREAM?***



## **Darwin's Problem**

**“Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain, and this perhaps is the greatest objection which can be urged against my theory.”**

*Origin of the Species*



Not even hypothesis dear Mr. Darwin.



# *ANOTHER GURU ADMITS IT*



## **Bigger Problem**

**DAVID M. RAUP**

Chicago Field Museum Of Natural History

“Well, we are now about 120 years after Darwin and the **knowledge** of the fossil record has been **greatly expanded**. We now have a quarter of a million fossil species but the situation **hasn't changed much**.”

*F.M.O.N.H.B., V.50, p.35*



Don't hold your breath  
Dave.

# ***TIME PROVES IT***

44



## **Bigger Problem**

**DAVID M. RAUP**

Chicago Field Museum Of Natural History

“...ironically, we **have even fewer examples** of evolutionary transition than we had in Darwin's time.”

*F.M.O.N.H.B., V.50, p.35*



That is because  
“zero” is considered  
“fewer” too.

# TIME PROVES IT

45



## "Fewer Examples"

**DAVID M. RAUP**

Chicago Field Museum Of Natural History

"By this I mean that some of the classic cases of Darwinian change in the fossil record, such as the evolution of the horse in North America, have had to be discarded or modified as the result of more detailed information."

*F.M.O.N.H.B., V.50, p.35*




Not only the horse but a lot more like:

- Hagel embryos (fraudulent)
  - Ramapiyhecus (extinct monkey)
  - Java man 1891 (fraudulent)
  - Piltdown man 1912 (hoax)
  - Neanderthal man (real human)
  - Lucy (extinct monkey)
  - Archaeopteryx (real perching bird)...etc.
- however all these still in the textbooks to deceive our kids.



# ***FAKE EVIDENCES***



It is estimated that some fifty+ doctorate degrees were granted for researches of horse evolution although **all these horses are found side by side in the same stratum.**

# LIES!

47



**Colin Patterson**  
Senior Paleontologist  
British Museum of Natural History

## Horse "Story"

"There have been an awful lot of **stories**, some more **imaginative** than others... The most famous example, still on exhibit downstairs, is the exhibit on **horse evolution** prepared perhaps fifty years ago. That has been presented as the literal truth in **textbook after textbook.**"

*Harper's*, p. 60, 1984



**Colin Patterson**  
Senior Paleontologist  
British Museum of Natural History

## Horse "Story"

"Now I think that that is **lamentable**, particularly when the people who propose those kinds of **stories** may themselves be aware of the **speculative** nature of some of that stuff.."

*Harper's*, p. 60, 1984



Not only this one Mr. Colin, there are a lot more, see slide 40.



# THE AUTHOR

48



## Textbook Deceit

GEORGE G. SIMPSON, Harvard

“The uniform, continuous transformation of Hyracotherium into Equus, so dear to the hearts of generations of textbook writers **never happened in nature.**”

*Life Of The Past*, p.119



This is the most knowledgeable person on this story, he wrote the book on it.



# ANOTHER GURU

49

## Speculative Stories

**DEREK AGER**

Past President, British Geological Asso.

“It must be significant that **nearly all** the **evolutionary stories** I learned as a student ...have now been ‘**debunked**.’”

*Proceedings Geological Asso.*

V.87, p.132



Just give it little time,  
all will be gone.

# ARCHAEOPTERYX

50



Does this look like half bird half dinosaur? This is a **perfect bird with perfect feathers**. Claws in the wings doesn't mean dinosaur, today there are 12 birds who have claws on their wings including the ostrich, swan, hoatzin, emu, and ibis.


Archaeopteryx means "ancient wing"

Reference:



# *ARCHAEOPTERYX IS A BIRD SON OF BIRD*

51



*"Paleontologists have tried to turn Archaeopteryx into an earth-bound feathered dinosaur. But it's not. It is a bird, a perching bird. And no amount of 'paleobabbles' is going to change that."*

Alan Feduccia – a world authority on birds from UNC Chapel Hill, quoted in "Archaeopteryx: Early Bird Catches a Can of Worms,"

Science Feb. 5, 1994, p. 764-5.




# *BOGUS EVIDENCE*



1. **Clawed Wings:** Ostrich + dozens have 'm too.
2. **Teeth:** ducks have 'm too, besides, it is loss not gain.
3. **Strata:** so what, **Birds are found in lower layers** older than Archaeopteryx.
4. Difference between scales and feathers:
  - A. They **come from different genes** on the chromosome.
  - B. They **develop totally differently**.
  - C. A scale is a **hard wrinkle in the skin**—a **feather is not a wrinkle of skin**.

Many birds lost their claws, this is not evolution, it is loss of information or devolution.

# *12 BIRDS HAVE CLAWS IN THE WING*





# *IS ARCHAEOPTERYX A DINO TO BIRD LINK?*

54

## **"Fossil Bird Shakes Evolutionary Hypotheses"**

"Fossil remains  
claimed to be of two  
crow-sized **birds 75 million years older**  
than Archaeopteryx have been found.  
...a paleontologist at Texas Tech University,  
who found the fossils, says they have  
**advanced avian features.**"

*Nature*, V.322, 1986 p.677



Here is a bird  
fossil lived "**75  
million years**"  
before the  
**Archaeopteryx.**  
This one even fly  
better.



# 55 *HERE IS EVOLUTIONIST WITNESS*

## Reptile To Bird

W.E. SWINTON

“The origin of birds is largely a matter of deduction. There is **no fossil evidence** of the stages through which the remarkable change from reptile to bird was achieved.”

*Biology & Comparative Physiology  
Of Birds V.I, p.1*



Reptiles start and stay reptiles and birds start and stays birds; Stephen J. Gould called it “**STASIS**”. Remember, “**after its kind**” is mentioned 10 times in Genesis 1.

# GAP?



## Systematic Gaps

D.B. KITTS  
University Of Oklahoma

The 'fact that **discontinuities** are almost always and **systematically present** at the origin of really big categories' is an item of **genuinely historical knowledge.**"

*Evolution*, V.28, p.467



What gaps?  
They are  
unrelated.

Q: Had any  
textbook ever  
mentioned  
something  
like this?

A: NEVER

# ***THEY AREN'T THERE!***

57



## **Systematic Gaps**

**D.B. KITTS**  
University Of Oklahoma

**“Evolution requires intermediate forms  
between species and paleontology does  
not provide them.”**

*Evolution, V.28, p.467*



Here is a leading authority in evolution admits **there are no intermediate forms** however all biology teachers assure kids the intermediate forms are abundant. Scientific fraud to say the least!



# MORE

## Systematic Gaps

David W. Swift  
University Of Hawaii

"...no phylum can be traced from a preceding one in the fossil record, in fact we cannot account for the origin of a single phylum: **they all appear abruptly**. This is also true of lower taxonomic groups such as **classes and orders**, and **possibly lower still**."

*EVOLUTION  
Under The Microscope  
2002, p.295*



STEVEN M. STANLEY  
Johns Hopkins University

**NOT ONE!**

"In fact, the fossil record does **not** convincingly document **a single transition** from one species to another."

*New Evolutionary Timetable, p.95*



# ARE THESE PEOPLE ON DRUGS?

LOOK NO MORE ☺

## By Faith



A.C. SEWARD, Cambridge

"The theoretically primitive type eludes our grasp; **our faith postulates** its existence but the type fails to materialize."

*Plant Life Through the Ages*  
p.561



Niles Eldridge  
American Museum Natural History

## Blind Faith

"And it has been the paleontologist- my own breed-who have been most responsible for **letting ideas dominate reality**:

...We paleontologist **have said** that the history of life supports that interpretation [gradual change], all the while **knowing that it does not.**"

*Time Frames*, 1986, p.144





# ***ARE THESE PEOPLE ON DRUGS?***

## ***LOOK NO MORE ☺***



### **Solution?**

Gould & Eldredge



“In fact, most published commentary on **punctuated equilibria** has been favorable. We are especially pleased that several paleontologists now state with pride and biological confidence a conclusion that had previously been simply **embarrassing**; ‘all these years of work and **I haven't found any evolution.**”

*Paleobiology, V.3, p.136*



Stephen J Gould after he admitted there are no gradual changes and the fossil record does not show the intermediate stages of any living organism he came up with the “**Punctuated Equilibria**”. He popularized what was rejected in the thirties that the **new species/types just came up at once without gradual changes**. He said one day a dinosaur just laid an egg which hatched a full formed bird. This was thought by Gold Smith in the thirties calling it “**Hopeful Monster**”. **Sudden transition**. The geneticists, his peers, suggest he is the one who laid an egg.



# ***ARE THESE PEOPLE ON DRUGS?***

## ***LOOK NO MORE***

61



### **Solution?**

S. M. STANLEY, Johns Hopkins

“The record now reveals that species typically survive for a hundred thousand generations, or even a million or more, without evolving very much. We seem **forced to conclude** that most **evolution takes place rapidly.**”

*New Evolutionary Timetable*  
1981, p.77



# ***EXCUSE WORSE THAN CRIME, THE HOLY GRAIL***



## **Solution?**

S. M. STANLEY, Johns Hopkins

“...a punctuational model of evolution... operated by a natural mechanism whose major effects are wrought exactly where we are least able to study them - in small, localized, transitory populations”

*New Evolutionary Timetable*  
1981, p.110



You don't see this, you can't see this, but believe me, it happened. The bird told me, trust me. This is not science in any shape, form or color. Used to be embarrassing, now we are not embarrassed anymore because we have explanation. And this is funded by our tax Dollars!



# ***JUDGE FOR YOURSELF, WHICH IS SCIENCE, AND WHICH IS BELIEF***




## **No Mechanism**

STEPHEN GOULD, Harvard

"A mutation doesn't produce major new raw material. You **don't make a new species by mutating** the species. ...That's a common idea people have; that evolution is due to random mutations. A **mutation is not the cause** of evolutionary change."

Lecture, Holbert & William Smith  
College 14/2/1980





# HONEST STATEMENTS

64

## Solution?

COLIN PATTERSON

British Museum Of Natural History

"Well, it seems to me that they have accepted that the fossil record doesn't give them the support they would value so they searched around to find another model and found one. ...When you haven't got the evidence, you **make up a story that will fit the lack of evidence.**"

*Darwin's Enigma, p.100*



Colin Patterson is the curator of the largest museum in the world..

## Not From Fossils

D.B. KITTS, University Of Oklahoma

"The **claim** is made that paleontology provides a direct way to get at the major events of organic history and that, furthermore, it **provides a means of testing** evolutionary theories. ...the paleontologist can provide knowledge that cannot be provided by biological principles alone. But he **cannot provide us with evolution.**"

*Evolution, V.28, p.466*



***ANOTHER  
ONE***

Evolution is **not**  
**deducted from**  
**fossils**; the  
paleontologist  
just know it.



# ANOTHER ONE

## Sour Fossils



MARK RIDLEY, Oxford

“...a lot of people just do not know what evidence the theory of evolution stands upon. They **think** that the main evidence is the **gradual descent** of one species from another **in the fossil record**.”

*New Scientist*, 6/1981, p.831



## Sour Fossils



MARK RIDLEY, Oxford

“In any case, **no real evolutionist**, whether gradualist or punctuationist, **uses the fossil record** as evidence in favor of the theory of evolution as opposed to special creation.”

*New Scientist*, 6/1981, p.831





# ANOTHER ONE

67

## Indicates Creation!

E.J.H. CORNOR, Cambridge

“Much evidence can be adduced in favor of the Theory of Evolution from Biology, Biogeography, and Paleontology, but I still think that to the unprejudiced the fossil record of plants is in favor of special creation.”

*Contemporary Botanical Thought*

p.61



# *CAN WE SAY*

## **Fossils**

### **"The Evidence"**

#### **Critical Beginning**

Sudden, Complex, Diverse  
All Phyla - None New Since

#### **"Trees Of Life"**

Selected Similarities  
(*Not Fossils, Embryology, Genetics*)

#### **Similarities**

Mosaic Pattern (Not Branching)



# Richard Dawkins Proves Intelligent Design in 5 Min

69

*M  
O  
V  
I  
E  
  
T  
I  
M  
E*



Please move the mouse on the picture above so that the play button appears.



**IF EVOLUTION IS REAL AND ADULTS COME FROM  
BABIES, HOW COME BABIES STILL EXIST?**

**CHECKMATE ATHEISTS**

# CONCLUSION

1. **Fact:** Evolution advocates are by definition creation antagonists.
2. **Fact:** Most famous ones are: **Darwin himself, Richard Dawkins, Stephen J. Gould**, Battan, J.Z. Young, David M. Raup, Clin Patterson, George G. Simpson, Berker Ager.
3. **Statement:** According to Dawkins the 4 evolution gabs are: **life from non-life, morality, consciousness, and sexuality.**
4. **Statement:** All animal, bird or plant fossils kinds (phyla) **appear the first time full advanced form** as explained in Genesis 1. No missing links.
5. **Statement:** No new phylum were found after the Cambrian explosion.
6. **Statement:** The facts listed in this presentation which were stated by evolution gurus **are never mentioned in any text book** or natural museum or even published at all.
7. **Fact:** **Trilobite**, very common in the Cambrian explosion, **possess fully formed complex eyes.**
8. **Fact:** Many living creatures still look almost the same after "millions" of years of evolution, e.g.,: **Cyanobacteria**, Lobed Comb Jelly, **Coelacanth**s, Fig Wasps , maidenhair tree, Cycads, Elephant Sharks, Nautilus, Platypus, ...etc.

# CONCLUSION

9. Statement: All phyla (kinds) are found in the Cambrian explosion, however the text books state the exact opposite.
10. Statement: The evolution tree taught in schools are based on inference not on evidence.
11. Fact: As expressed in Genesis 1, the idea that the offspring is the same phylum/kind like its parents by repeating "after its kind".
12. Fact: Different species of certain phylum/kind appear in offspring due to vast variability capability in the DNA.
13. Fact: Epigenetics determines which genes are turned on changing the offspring without changing the DNA, problem for evolution.
14. Fact: DNA similarity between creatures is not due to common ancestor but common designer.
15. Fact: 1% different DNA between 2 creatures mounts to 30,000,000 nucleotides difference.
16. Fact: 3 different nucleotides are fatal.
17. Fact: Mosaic creatures like the Australian Platypus exhibit features across the biological classification defy evolution.
18. Statement: When similarities between creatures do not conform with evolution they call it parallel feature, inconsistent definition.



# CONCLUSION

19. Statement: None ever found phylum changed to another however text books are filled with imaginary ones.
20. Statement: No evolution is seen in the fossil records, just miscellaneous variations about a set of basic designs, God got it right 1<sup>st</sup> time.
21. Statement: No intermediate fossils ever found however text books are filled of them.
22. Statement: All claimed missing links have been debunked, e.g., Hager embryos, ramapiyhecus, Java man, Piltdown man, Neanderthal man, Lucy, Archaeopteryx ...etc. However they still in the text books
23. Statement: The horse evolution stages are found side by side in the same strata.
24. Fact: Archaeopteryx is a perishing bird, it had birds feathers, breathing, air sacs in bones, besides real bird fossils were found 75 million years before Archaeopteryx.
25. Fact: That most birds do not have teeth it is not information gain but loss.
26. Fact: Many todays birds have claws in the wing, e.g., Ostrich.
27. Statement: There is no any fossil showing evolution of reptile to bird, it is just deduction.
28. Statement: Evolution is Blind Faith from paleontologist.
29. Statement: Because gradual change can't be evidenced S. J. Gould evidenced "Punctuated Equilibria"

# *CONCLUSION*

- 30. Statement: Punctuated Equilibria: Transition is very abrupt and in very small population this is why it is not found in fossil record.
- 31. Statement: Punctuated Equilibria: also because species stay unchanged for hundred thousand generation [doh silly].
- 32. Statement: Mutation doesn't produce major new specie.
- 33. Statement: Because the fossil record defies evolution evolutionist made up the "punctuated equilibria" story.
- 34. Statement: Paleontologist can not provide proof of evolution.
- 35. Statement: People think erroneously that evolution stands on gradual descent of one specie from another in the fossil record.
- 36. Statement: Neither gradualist nor punctualist evolutionists uses fossil record, it is just baseless deduction.
- 37. Statement: To the unprejudiced the fossil record of plants is in favor of special creation.
- 38. Fact: If adults come from babies, how come babies still exist? ☺

# QUIZ

1. Define: Creation antagonists.
2. Name five Creation antagonists.
3. Fill in the blanks: According to Dawkins the 4 evolution gabs are: **blank**, **blank**, **blank**, & **blank**.
4. Fill in the blanks: All animal, bird or plant fossils kinds (**blank**) appear the first time full **blank** form as explained in Genesis 1. No **blank** links.
5. Fill the blanks: No new **blank** were found after the **blank** explosion.
6. Fill the blank : The facts listed in this presentation in this presentation were stated by evolution gurus **blank** text book or natural museum or even published at all.
7. Fill the blanks: Trilobite, very common in the **blank** explosion, possess fully formed complex **blank**.
8. Fill in the blanks: Many living creatures still look almost the **blank** after “**blank**” of years of evolution, e.g.,: **blank**, **blank**, **blank**, **blank** ...etc.



# QUIZ

9. Fill in the blanks: All blank (kinds) are found in the blank explosion, however the text books state the exact blank.
10. Fill the blanks: The blank tree taught in schools are based on blank not on blank.
11. Fill in the blanks: As expressed in Genesis 1, the idea that the blank is the same blank /kind like its parents by repeating "blank".
12. Fill in the blanks: Different blank of certain blank /kind appear in offspring due to vast blank capability in the blank.
13. Fill the blanks: blank determines which blank are turned on changing the blank without changing the blank, problem for evolution.
14. Fill the blanks: : blank similarity between blank is not due to common blank

but common blank.

15. Fill in the blanks: 1% different blank between 2 creatures mounts to 30,000,000 blank difference.
16. Fill the blanks: 3 different blank are blank.
17. Fill the blanks: 3 different blank are blank.
18. Fill the blanks: blank creatures like the blank Platypus exhibit features across the blank classification can not conform with blank.
19. Fill the blanks: When blank between creatures do not conform with blank they call it blank feature, inconsistent definition.

# QUIZ

20. Fill in the blanks: None ever found blank changed to another however text books are blank with imaginary ones.
21. Fill in the blanks: blank evolution is seen in the blank records, just miscellaneous variations about a set of basic blank, God got it right 1<sup>st</sup> time.
22. Fill in the blanks: No blank fossils ever found however text books are blank of them.
23. Fill in the blanks: All claimed blank links have been blank, e.g., blank embryos, ramapiyhecus, Java man, blank blank, blank man, Lucy, blank ...etc. However they still in the text books
24. Fill in the blanks: The horse blank stages are found side by side in the same strata.
25. Fill in the blanks: blank is a perishing bird, it had birds blank, blank, air blank in bones, besides real blank blank were found blank million years before Archaeopteryx.
26. Fill in the blanks: That most birds do not have blank it is not information blank but blank.
27. Fill in the blanks: Many todays birds have blank in the wing, e.g., blank.
28. Fill in the blanks: There is no any blank showing evolution of reptile to blank, it is just blank.
29. Fill in the blanks: blank is Blind Faith from paleontologist.
30. Fill in the blanks: Because gradual change can't be evidenced S. J. Gould invented "blank blank"

# QUIZ

31. Fill in the blanks: Punctuated Equilibria: blank is very abrupt and in very small blank this is why it is not found in fossil record.
32. Fill in the blanks: Punctuated Equilibria: also because blank stay unchanged for hundred thousand blank [doh silly].
33. Fill in the blanks: Mutation blank produce major new blank.
34. Fill in the blanks: Because the fossil record blank evolution evolutionist made up the "blank blank" story.
35. Fill in the blanks: Paleontologist can not provide proof of blank.
36. Fill in the blanks: People think blank that evolution stands on gradual blank of one blank from another in the fossil record.
37. Fill in the blanks: Neither blank nor blank evolutionists uses fossil blank, it is just baseless blank.
38. Fill in the blanks: To the blank the fossil record of plants is in favor of special blank.
39. Fill in the blanks: If blank come from blank, how come blank still exist? ☺



G  
r  
a  
d  
u  
a  
t  
i  
o  
n  
p  
a  
r  
t  
y



79

*S  
U  
R  
P  
R  
I  
S  
E  
!*