

LIES INTO FACTS

AND BACK

OUR KIDS ARE BRAIN-WASHED BY BARRAGE OF LIES TO INDOCTRINATE THEM, UNETHICALLY, INTO EVOLUTION RELIGION INSTEAD OF TEACHING THEM TESTABLE AND PROVEN CURRICULUM CULMINATING IN AN EVER-STAGGERING NIHILISTIC CULTURE, LIFE, AND LIVING!

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE DR. KENT HOVIND OF CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([CSE](#)): HIS LECTURE “LIES IN THE TEXTBOOKS” AS WELL AS MANY OF HIS PUBLISHED LECTURES HAVE PROVIDED ME WITH RICH MATERIAL FOR THIS RESEARCH/PRESENTATION.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IS DUE AS WELL TO CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([CMI](#)), GENESIS APOLOGETICS ([GA](#)), ANSWERS IN GENESIS([AIG](#)), THE INSTITUTE FOR CREATION RESEARCH (ICR) (WWW.ICR.ORG), CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([CMI](#)), CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([CSE](#)), AND CREATION EVIDENCE MUSEUM OF TEXAS ([CEMOT](#)), AND CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([CSE](#)), AND CREATION EVIDENCE MUSEUM OF TEXAS ([CEMOT](#)), AND DAVID RIVES MINISTRIES ([DRM](#)). MUCH OF THE CONTENT HEREIN HAS BEEN DRAWN FROM (AND IS MEANT TO BE IN ALIGNMENT WITH) THESE BIBLICAL CREATION MINISTRIES. I’VE ASKED THEM BY PHONE ABOUT USING THEIR PUBLISHED MATERIAL AND THEY WERE GRACIOUS ENOUGH TO GRANT IT TO ME FOR THE EDUCATION PURPOSE AND NOT FOR MAKING MONEY. IN TURN, ANYONE CAN USE THIS MATERIAL HERE WITHIN FOR EDUCATION PURPOSE ONLY.

MY DEEP GRATITUDE ALSO TO MANY DEAR FRIENDS FOR THEIR INVALUABLE ADVICE AND ENCOURAGEMENT WHO PREFERRED TO BE ANONYMOUS.

AT LAST BUT NOT LEAST I AM GRATEFUL TO MY DAUGHTER IRINA ISHAK FOR HER EDITING MUCH OF MY WRITING TO MAKE IT READABLE.

APOLOGETICS PRESENTATION SERIES

This is the eighth presentation in the Apologetics presentation series. The purpose of this series is **to prepare our kids and youth and everyone to survive the vicious attacks on Christianity and God** in all the education and media system. We will post a new presentation every 2-3 weeks. Look for subjects as:

Did Science Kill God? (posted)
 Where are we Heading (posted)
 Big Bang or smoke screen (posted)
 Creation antagonists witness (posted)
 Evolution or delusion (posted)
 Evolutionists Plight (posted)
 It is Young World After all (posted)
 Lies into Facts – Grand Canyon (current)
 Reclaiming Culture
 Satanic Aluminite – Doctrine that Damned America
 Scientific facts in The Bible
 Six days Creation
 The Trojan of Deep Time

Iron Clad Evidence
 Humanoid- Myth or Truth
 The Incredible Design of the Human Body
 The Amazing Created Human Brain (current)
 The Amazing Created Human Heart
 The Amazing Created Human Respiratory System
 The Amazing Created Human Digestive System
 The Amazing Created Human DNA
 The Amazing Created Human Ear
 The Amazing Created Human Eye
 The Amazing Created Human Reproduction Process
 Intelligent Design
 A Scientific Dissent from Darwinism

WHY THIS SERIES?

The purpose of this series is to **teach Apologetics** to our people. It is very important that we equip our self by the right information about the **existence of God & the authenticity and infallibility of His book all with evidence from science**. This kind of education has never been **so badly needed** as it is now **for our kids and youth to survive the vicious attacks on the Bible and God** in all walks of life, be it school system, media, press, books, museums, colleges, social, entertainment, ...etc. These vicious attacks, although as old as creation itself, it increased during the enlightenment age but **it sky-rocketed since the 1970's**. The root cause of all this attacks **unquestionably is the evolution theory** which replaced the godly creation by godless one and therefor there is no need for a God with all the moral and legal responsibility to submit to His authority and commands and not living sinful life.

This series will have sections **refuting evolution** be it cosmic, chemical, astronomical, organic, and biological. Other sections **discussing all-or-nothing complex systems that are impossible to explain through bit-by-bit random evolutionary processes as incredible examples of God's handiwork in His creation**.

This current presentation discusses some of the fallacies in our kids' textbooks. These fallacies are printed year after year to indoctrinate our kids into evolution although they were proven wrong or supported by very flimsy evidences many even were denounced in published literature by evolutionists. For instance since 1977 over 41 papers published in prestigious scientific periodicals reporting blood and soft tissues in dinosaur fossils found by paleontologists, however the evolution communities dig their heels in the ground and scrupling to find justifications for these organic material to survive millions of years while deep in their hearts they know it is impossible. Let alone they ignore the fact that their own labs found ^{14}C in all tested fossils and diamonds. If creationists did something similar, they would laugh them all the way out of the court.

It is just one undisputable evidence of young world out of over 100 other evidences. I wouldn't mind they believe the world is billions of years old ONLY don't force feed this to our kids. Enough what the world suffered as direct result of spreading this nihilistic culture.

*THOU SHALT LEARN
“APOLOGETICS”
1 PETER 3:15 (REPHRASED)*

*CLICK HERE TO
GET EQUIPPED TO SURVIVE NIHILISTIC
CULTURE*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE DR. KENT HOVIND OF CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([CSE](#)): HIS LECTURE “LIES IN THE TEXTBOOKS” AS WELL AS MANY OF HIS PUBLISHED LECTURES HAVE PROVIDED ME WITH RICH MATERIAL FOR THIS RESEARCH/PRESENTATION.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IS DUE AS WELL TO CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([CMI](#)), GENESIS APOLOGETICS ([GA](#)), ANSWERS IN GENESIS([AIG](#)), THE INSTITUTE FOR CREATION RESEARCH (ICR) (WWW.ICR.ORG), CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([CMI](#)), CREATION SCIENCE EVANGELISM ([CSE](#)), AND CREATION EVIDENCE MUSEUM OF TEXAS ([CEMOT](#)), MUCH OF THE CONTENT HEREIN HAS BEEN DRAWN FROM (AND IS MEANT TO BE IN ALIGNMENT WITH) THESE BIBLICAL CREATION MINISTRIES. I’VE ASKED THEM BY PHONE ABOUT USING THEIR PUBLISHED MATERIAL AND THEY WERE GRACIOUS ENOUGH TO GRANT IT TO ME FOR THE EDUCATION PURPOSE AND NOT FOR MAKING MONEY. IN TURN, ANYONE CAN USE THIS MATERIAL HERE WITHIN FOR EDUCATION PURPOSE ONLY.

MY DEEP GRATITUDE ALSO TO MANY DEAR FRIENDS FOR THEIR INVALUABLE ADVICE AND ENCOURAGEMENT WHO PREFERRED TO BE ANONYMOUS.

AT LAST BUT NOT LEAST I AM GRATEFUL TO MY DAUGHTER IRINA ISHAK FOR HER EDITING MUCH OF MY WRITING TO MAKE IT READABLE.

UNACCEPTABLE

7

IT IS *INCOMPREHENSIBLE* AND BEYOND IMAGINATION THAT AFTER *PLENTIFUL DISCOVERIES OF SOFT TISSUES AND BLOOD IN PARTIALLY- OR UN-FOSSILIZED DINOSAUR REMAINS* THAT PALEONTOLOGY AND RELATED SCIENCE DISCIPLINES STILL MAINTAIN THAT DINOSAURS LIVED MILLIONS OF YEARS AGO AND REFUSE TO OBJECTIVELY AND SCIENTIFICALLY FACE THE *UNDISPUTABLE EVIDENCE OF YOUNG EARTH*. SPECIALLY THAT *C¹⁴ TESTING ON FOSSILS, COAL, PETROL, & DIAMOND* YIELDS RESULTS OF 50,000 YEARS OLD OR YOUNGER. MAJORITY OF *AGING PROCESSES YIELD YOUNG EARTH* AND UNIVERSE. *RADIOMETRIC AGING PROCESSES YIELD ERRONEOUS INCONSISTENT AND UNRELIABLE AGE OF KNOWN LAVA SAMPLES.* SCIENCE SHOULD BE UNBIASED AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE IN ITS GOAL OF UNCOVERING FACTS. *OTHERWISE IT DOES NOT WARRANT OUR TRUST.* IMAGINE IF A SIMILAR DISREGARD FOR THE SCIENTIFIC PROCESS EXISTED IN PHARMACEUTICAL OR MEDICAL AREAS, AND THE DANGERS OF A NEWLY DEVELOPED DRUG OR SURGERY WAS HIDDEN FROM PATIENTS AND NOT DISCLOSED IN SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE TO AVOID LOSS OF SOME INVESTMENTS. IN THAT CASE WE WOULD LOSE OUR TRUST IN THEM. THE SAME GOES FOR ALL SCIENTIFIC AREAS.



*APOLOGIES TO JOHNNY HART

"AND GOD SAW EVERY THING THAT HE HAD MADE, AND, BEHOLD, IT WAS VERY GOOD. AND THE EVENING AND THE MORNING WERE THE SIXTH DAY."
- GENESIS 1:31

***THE
MILLIONS OF
YEARS
CONSPIRACY***

EVOLUTION KEYSTONES

We will discuss in this presentation the main “Evidences” taught as keystones for proving evolution unethically in all education levels Kindergarten to Post Graduate.

- 1. Grand Canyon was formed slowly by Colorado River.*
- 2. From sea to land IN MILLIONS OF YEARS.*
- 3. Micro to Macro evolution IN MILLIONS OF YEARS.*
- 4. The Millions of years verified by radiometric aging processes.*
- 5. Geologic column shows earth's history.*
- 6. Polystrate tree fossils are buried in millions of years layers.*
- 7. Fossils take Millions of years to form.*
- 8. MICRO leads to MACRO evolution.*
- 9. Mutation leads to evolution.*
- 10. The Peppered Moth Myth*
- 11. Survival of the fittest produce evolution.***
- 12. Homologous structures proves common ancestor.*
- 13. Vestigial structures proves evolution.***
- 14. Biogenetics "Ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny" proves evolution.*
- 15. Evolution is fact.*

KEYSTONE #1

GRAND CANYON KEYSTONE

- UNIFORMITARIANISM WAS PREDICTED BY PETER: “AND SAYING, WHERE IS THE PROMISE OF HIS COMING? FOR SINCE THE FATHERS FELL ASLEEP, ALL THINGS CONTINUE AS THEY WERE FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE CREATION.” 2 PETER 3:4
- “THE PRESENT IS THE KEY FOR THE PAST” IS THE UNIFORMITARIANISM PARADIGM, IT SHOULD BE “THE BIBLE IS THE KEY FOR THE PAST” .
- GRAND CANYON, AND ALL THE ITEMS LISTED HENCEFORWARD, IS A ROCK-SOLID EVIDENCE THE PARADIGM IS WRONG AND THE TRUE PARADIGM IS BIBLICAL ONE.
- There are too many evidences that Grand Canyon is the result of catastrophic worldwide flood like Noah flood just few thousand years ago. For instance, the sedimentation layers lay flat like pancake most of them extending to several states and even continents, there is no any erosion between the layers meaning they all were formed short time, the Kaibab lift show clearly the sedimentary layers were soft and pliable when lifted otherwise they would be broken and not just bent, the amount of fallen stones at the feet of the canyon consistent with few thousands not millions of years, the patina on the surface of the canyon is the same thickness top to bottom, rock ages are the same at any height, highest point in the canyon is over 2,500 feet higher than the Colorado river entrance to the canyon so it can't be carved by the river in millions of years. All these evidences will be discussed in separate presentation.



Over millions of years, the Colorado River has carved out the Grand Canyon from solid rock

Prentice Hall General Science 1992 p.174




TOMBSTONE #1

KEYSTONE #2

FROM SEA TO LAND IN MILLIONS OF YEARS


12



The Lobe-finned fish Coelacanth which allegedly is the predecessor of the Amphibians some of which were evolved to land animals some 325 million years ago still well and alive without any changes from the fossils. More importantly, there is nothing in the fossil records shows any intermediate stage between fish and amphibians.

Lobe-finned fish are index fossils for 325-410 million year old rock?






TOMBSTONE #2

KEYSTONE #3

MICRO TO MACRO EVOLUTION IN MILLIONS OF YEARS 14



According to Darwinists, **MICROEVOLUTION** that leads to the origin of new species over time can be termed as **MACROEVOLUTION**. Creationists oppose this.

The coelacanth fish is taught to be extinct 325 Million years ago. It is supposed to be one step toward evolving the fish to land animal. Coelacanth was discovered well and alive in 1938. No difference can be found between the 325 million years old fossils and living coelacanth, it stayed the same for this supposedly long eon of time. Coelacanth is one of too many living fossils which show no evolution during these millions of years.

Some of the living fossils:
coelacanth, Horseshoe crab,
Nautilidea, Frilled shark, Loatian rock
rat, Okapo, Solenodon, Aardvark,
Maidenhair, Monito dle monte,
amami rabbit, Chevrotain, Red panda,
Cyanobacteria.

SAMPLES OF LIVING FOSSILS

15

Coelacanth, allegedly 65 million years ago.



Creation.com, please click on the black box below. Click



Nautilus, allegedly 505 million to 408 million years ago.



Both, inside and outside are living fossils.



Horseshoe crab, allegedly 200 million years ago.



[Living Fossils, Evolution: The Grand Experiment, Episode 2](#)



Living Fossils' Just A Branch On Cycad Family Tree, 65 million years ago.



[Source: The World's Most Astounding Living Fossils .](#)



If all these creatures and a lot more did not change a bit in eons of ages PLUS there is nothing in the fossil records showing any transitions why do we have to believe that micro evolution leads to macro evolution? Makes no sense, isn't it? Just never mind the millions of years, they are alleged anyway.

SAMPLES OF LIVING FOSSILS

16

Manis Shrimp



tuatara, a lizard-like reptile, allegedly some 200 million years ago.



Platypus, allegedly 166 million years ago.



Coblin Shark , allegedly 124 MY ago.



Cockroach, allegedly 320 MY ago



Mouse deer, better known as a chevrotain, allegedly 35 MY ago.



Alligator, allegedly 320 million years ago.



Sea pwns, over hundreds of millions of years



As mentioned before, if all these creatures and a lot more did not change a bit in eons of ages PLUS there is nothing in the fossil records showing any transitions why do we have to believe that micro evolution leads to macro evolution? Makes no sense, isn't it? Just never mind the millions of years, they are alleged anyway.

15 TENACIOUS LIVING FOSSILS

17




Click this link: [15 Tenacious Living Fossils](#)

MICRO EVOLUTION LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

forms of living things that came before.

3

Evolution can be defined as a change in species over time. A species is a group of organisms that share similar characteristics and that can interbreed with one another to produce fertile offspring. Lions,



Students are given a definition of the word that is only micro-evolution ...

Prentice Hall *Earth Science*

1999 p. 627

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

19

Most evolutionists tell you that **macro-evolution** is just **micro-evolution over longer periods of time**. This is dreaming! “The central question of the 1980 Chicago conference of about 150 of the world's leading evolutionary theorists was whether the mechanisms underlying microevolution can be extrapolated to explain the phenomena of macroevolution. ... **the answer can be given as a clear, No.”**

Roger Lewin, “Evolution Theory Under Fire” Science vol. 210, Nov. 21, 1980, p. 883

See also [Question Evolution! - CMI's Rebuttals to Responses to Questions 4 through 8](#)

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

20

“Darwin’s theory is presented through scientific falsification. Genetic mutations never add new information, but, on the contrary, the result is always a loss of information as shown by the work of the geneticist John Sanford from Cornell University.”



Sociologist Fabrizio Fratus, The Third International Scientific Conference held in Istanbul, Turkey, in April 2019 was entitled “The Origin of Life and the Universe.”

[Scientists join to debunk evolution](#)

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION


Microevolution refers to varieties within a given type. Change happens within a group, producing slightly different descendants. This is observable, testable, and repeatable.

Macroevolution refers to major evolutionary changes over time, the origin of new types of organisms from previously existing, but different, ancestral types. This is not observable, not testable, and not repeatable.



MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

22



Evolution envisions that 2.5 billion years ago living cell just sprang out of the primordial soup. The living cell kept evolving into more and more complex life organisms until today where the living cell produced the huge living varieties we see today discarding the Biogenesis & the pure word of God "In the beginning God created ...etc.". When Jeremiah prophesized 2:13 "For my people have committed two evils; they have forsaken me the fountain of living waters, and hewed them out cisterns, broken cisterns, that can hold no water." the inspiration had them in mind definitely.


What was the first organism originally on Earth? - Quora

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

23

- Evolutionists claim that micro evolution leads to macro evolution, just give it **millions of years**.
- The tools for this evolution is*:
 1. **Mutation**: but we know almost all mutations are useless if not harmful, exact mutation has to happen same time in enough males and females who would breed to carry the change to next generation otherwise the **mutation will be washed out in the gene pool**. The chance of good mutation, though it means loss of information, is 1 to 10^{77} . There hasn't been enough time to generate the world's observed genetic diversity even assuming vast eons, according to evolutionist J. B. S. Haldane. The **accumulated bad mutations would render the gene pool waste** much earlier than good traits may appear leading to organism distinction.

* Ref: New Distributed Problem-solving Model for Population Adaptation by P. B. Gaskill & Randy J. Guliuzza; Acts & Facts April 2019.



Evolution at different scales: micro to macro

Scientists join to debunk evolution

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

2. **Migration:** The movement of individuals between populations. Leading to interbreeding between different kinds supposedly producing third kind but we know **only members in the same kind can breed together producing same kind 😊**, so this one is mute too.
3. **Genetic Drift:** Fancy name for random changes in the gene frequencies of a population from generation to generation, due to errors. **Can errors bring something good?**
4. **Natural Selection:** But natural selection selects from what is available to start with, never adds new information to the DNA, if any it loses.



The image shows a screenshot of a BBC News website article titled "Origin of dogs traced". The article features a photo of a light-colored dog and text stating that scientists believe dogs evolved from just a handful of wolves tamed by humans. A quote from Matthew Binns, Animal Health Trust, is highlighted in a yellow box: "It looks as if 95% of current dogs come from just three original founding females". The URL <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/2498669.stm> is also displayed in red text.

BBC NEWS
Friday, 22 November 2002, 06:03 GMT
Origin of dogs traced

By Christine McGourty

Dogs today come in all shapes and sizes, but scientists believe they evolved from just a handful of wolves tamed by humans living in or near China less than 15,000 years ago.

Three research teams have attempted to solve some long-standing puzzles in the evolution and social history of dogs.

Their findings, reported in the journal *Science*, point to the existence of probably three founding females - the so-called "Eves" of the dog world.

They conclude that intensive breeding by humans over the last 500 years - not different genetic origins - is responsible for the dramatic differences in appearance among modern dogs.

One team studied Old World dogs to try to pin down their origins, previously thought to be in the Middle East.

WATCHLISTEN
The BBC's Palab Chook
"Research suggests" dogs inherit the ability to understand humans"

WATCHLISTEN
The Basics of being
See also:
27 Sep 02 | Science/Nature
Plan to decode dogs
19 Nov 98 | Science/Nature
How old is that doggie?
08 Jul 99 | Science/Nature
Survivors in diversity

Internet links:
Science
BBC Nature - Wildfacts
March
The BBC is not responsible
for the content of external
internet sites.

**No Science/Nature
Stories here.**
Date for first Australians
discovered?
Fifth closest star
revealed
Mona Lisa smile secrets
revealed
The gene that makes
man
Scientists' wave detector all
set
Robots get cheeky
The bug and the bizarre
robot may cause new
worlds

**Links to more
Science/Nature stories
are at the foot of the
page.**


“ It looks as if 95% of current dogs come from just three original founding females ”

**Matthew Binns,
Animal Health Trust**

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/2498669.stm>

MICRO LEADS TO MACRO EVOLUTION

Microevolution refers to *varieties within a given type*. Change happens within a group, but the descendant is clearly of the same type as the ancestor. This might better be called variation, or *adaptation*, but the changes are "horizontal" in effect, not "vertical." Such changes might be accomplished by "*natural selection*," in which a trait within the present variety is selected as the best for a given set of conditions, or accomplished by "*artificial selection*," such as when dog breeders produce a new breed of dog.




ADAPTATION - WHY YOU SHOULD BELIEVE IN CREATION ...AND NOT EVOLUTION | DAVID RIVES

26



Please click on the picture to see the play button

Click: [Adaptation - Why You Should Believe in Creation ...And Not Evolution | David Rives](#)



Please click on the picture to see the play button

Credit: [David Rives Ministries](#)

IS EVOLUTION SCIENCE...OR RELIGION? - DAVID RIVES

28



Please click on the picture to see the play button

Credit: [David Rives Ministries](#)

Click: [Is Evolution Science...Or Religion? - David Rives](#)



TOMBSTONE #3

KEYSTONE #4



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS VERIFIED BY RADIOMETRIC AGING PROCESSES

NO ONE IS EXEMPT OF BEING TAUGHT THAT DINOSAURS LIVED IN THE “CRETACEOUS” PERIOD OVER 70 MILLION YEARS AGO, EVEN KINDER GARDEN KIDS (THOSE ONES WITHOUT GEOLOGICAL COLUMN). DID THEY REALLY LIVE 70 MY YEARS AGO? WERE THERE MILLIONS OF YEARS AGO ANYWAY? THIS TEACHING IS REQUIRED BECAUSE WITHOUT MILLIONS OF YEARS NO EVOLUTIONIST WILL DARE TO CLAIM IT CAN HAPPEN. IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE SUPPORTED BY RADIOMETRIC AGING PROCESS; HOWEVER THESE PROCESSES ARE BASED ON PRESUMPTIONS IN FAVORING MILLIONS OF YEARS, BESIDES, THE ASSUMPTIONS USED ARE UNVERIFIABLE.

In nutshell radioactive an isotope, parent, is unstable. It changes gradually to more stable element, daughter, by emitting particles out of their nucleus. Time taken to change half the parent atoms to daughter atoms take different times for different isotopes and is called half time. By measuring the number of daughter atoms and compare it to the parent atoms in a sample the time taken since beginning can be calculated if the half time is known. The assumption upon which the calculations based are mainly:

1. The sample started with zero number of daughter atoms; all are parent atoms.
2. There was no leak of parent or daughter atoms out or into the sample.
3. The half time is constant all the time.

Assumptions for radiometric aging process



Radiometric dating, radioactive dating or radioisotope dating

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS VERIFIED BY RADIOMETRIC AGING PROCESSES

These assumption cannot be verified, as a matter of fact there are quite many evidences point to the contrary such as :

1. Blood and soft tissues in fossils.
2. C^{14} in fossils and diamonds.
3. Difference more than 1% in DNA between two creatures preclude common ancestor.
4. Helium remained in Zirconium crystals points to 5000 years age for the earth.
5. Etc.

There are over differe100 aging processes 90% of them point to young earth. See the tables on the following 4 slides.

[101 evidences for a young age of the Earth and the universe](#)



*FREDRICH HOYEL:
IT IS MORE LIKELY
THAT A TORNADO
STORMING
THROUGH A JUNK
YARD WILL
PRODUCE A
BOEING 747 THAN
LIVING CELL
SPRINGS OUT OF
THE PRIMORDIAL
SOUP. EVOLUTION
IS DOA (DEAD ON
ARRIVAL)*

C¹⁴ TESTING RESULTS FOR SOME FOSSILS

Dinosaur (a)	Lab/Method/Fraction (b,c,d)	C-14 Years B.P.	Date	USA State
Acro	GX-15155-A/Beta/bio	>32,400	11/10/1989	TX
Acro	GX-15155-A-AMS/bio	25,750 ± 280	06/14/1990	TX
Acro	AA-5786-AMS/bio/scrapings	23,760 ± 270	10/23/1990	TX
Acro	UGAMS-7509a/AMS/bio	29,690 ± 90	10/27/2010	TX
Acro	UGAMS-7509b/AMS/bow	30,640 ± 90	10/27/2010	TX
Allosaurus	UGAMS-02947/AMS/bio	31,360 ± 100	05/01/2008	CO
Hadrosaur #1	KIA-5523/AMS/bow	31,050 + 230/-220	10/01/1998	AK
Hadrosaur #1	KIA-5523/AMS/hum	36,480 + 560/-530	10/01/1998	AK
Triceratops #1	GX-32372-AMS/col	30,890 ± 200	08/25/2006	MT
Triceratops #1	GX-32647-Beta/bow	33,830 + 2910/-1960	09/12/2006	MT
Triceratops #1	UGAMS-04973a-AMS/bio	24,340 ± 70	10/29/2009	MT
Triceratops #2	UGAMS-03228a-AMS/bio	39,230 ± 140	08/27/2008	MT
Triceratops #2	UGAMS-03228b-AMS/col	30,110 ± 80	08/27/2008	MT
Hadrosaur #2	GX-32739-Beta/ext	22380±800	01/06/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #2	GX-32678/AMS/w	22,990 ± 130	04/04/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #2	GX-31950-AMS/col	1950 ± 50 (contam)	01/18/2006	MT
Hadrosaur #2	UGAMS-01935/AMS/bio	25,670±220	04/10/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #2	UGAMS-01936/AMS/w	25,170±230	04/10/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #2	UGMAS-01937/AMS/col	23,170±170	04/10/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #2	UGAMS-01918/AMS/hum	2,560±70 (contam)	04/10/2007	MT
Hadrosaur #3	UGAMS-9893/AMS/bio	37,660±160	11/29/2011	CO
Apatosaur	UGAMS-9891/AMS/bio	38250±160	11/29/2011	CO

Results of C-14 tests on dinosaur bones provided by the [Paleochronology Group](https://www.paleochronologygroup.com/).

<https://www.ancient-origins.net/news-evolution-human-origins/humans-walk-earth-dinosaurs-triceratops-horn-dated-33500-020159>

BLOOD & SOFT TISSUES IN FOSSILS

FOSSIL ANALYSES WITH VERIFIED ORIGINAL SOFT TISSUES

Publication Date	Brief Description	Evolutionary Age	Publication
Articles Published in Peer-Reviewed Journals			
1 8/6/1966	<i>Tarbosaurus</i> collagen fibers	80MY	Pawlicki, R. et al, <i>Nature</i> , 211 (5049): 655-657.
2 6/14/1992	Seismosaur osteocalcin (bone protein)	150MY	Muyzer, G. et al, <i>Geology</i> , 20: 871-874.
3 9/25/1992	DNA in amber	30MY	Morell, V. et al, <i>Science</i> , 257 (5078): 1860-1862.
4 6/16/1994	Hadrosaur bone possible DNA	65MY	Woodward, S. R., N. J. Weyand, and M. Bunnell, <i>Science</i> , 266 (5188): 1229-1232.
5 5/19/1995	Live bacteria spores from amber	25-40MY	Cano, R. J. and M. K. Borucki, <i>Science</i> , 268 (5213): 1060-1064.
6 6/10/1997	<i>T. rex</i> bone hemoglobin fragments	67MY	Schweitzer, M. et al, <i>PNAS</i> , 94 (12): 6291-6296.
7 6/2/1999	Live bacteria from halite deposit	250MY	Vreeland, R. H. et al, American Society for Microbiology, 99th General Meeting, June 2, 1999, Chicago.
8 6/21/1999	Live bacteria from separate rock salts	250MY	Stan-Lotter, H. et al, <i>Microbiology</i> , 145 (12): 3565-3574.
9 6/21/1999	Cretaceous Madagascar bird keratin	65MY	Schweitzer, M. H. et al, <i>J. Vert. Paleol.</i> , 19 (4): 712-722.
10 9/1/2001	<i>T. rex</i> collagen SEM scans	65MY	Armitage, M., <i>Creation Research Society Quarterly</i> , 38 (2): 61-66.
11 6/26/2004	Live (non-spore) bacteria in amber	120MY	Greenblatt, C. L. et al, <i>Microbial Ecology</i> , 48 (1): 120-127.
12 3/24/2005	<i>T. rex</i> soft tissue	68MY	Schweitzer, M. et al, <i>Science</i> , 307 (5717): 1952-1955.
13 7/25/2006	Soft frog, intact	10MY	McNamara, M. et al, <i>Geology</i> , 34 (8): 641-644.
14 6/30/2007	<i>T. rex</i> collagen	68MY	Schweitzer, M. et al, <i>Science</i> , 316 (5822): 277-280.
15 1/22/2007	<i>Triceratops</i> and <i>T. rex</i> blood vessels	68MY	Schweitzer, M. H. et al, <i>Proc. Roy. Soc. B</i> , 274: 183-197.
16 4/7/2008	<i>Psittacosaurus</i> skin	125MY	Lingham-Soliar, T. et al, <i>Proc. Royal Soc. B</i> , 275: 775-780.
17 7/8/2008	Feather melanocytes	100MY	Vinther, J. et al, <i>Biology Letters</i> , 4: 522-525.
18 4/30/2009	Hadrosaur blood vessels	80MY	Schweitzer, M. et al, <i>Science</i> , 324 (5927): 626-631.
19 8/26/2009	Purple Messel feather nanostructure	40MY	Vinther, J. et al, <i>Biology Letters</i> , 6 (1): 128-131.
20 5/19/2009	Primate "Ida" soft body outline	40MY	Franzen, J. L. et al, <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 4 (5): e5723.
21 7/1/2009	Hadrosaur skin cell structures	66MY	Manning, P. et al, <i>Proc. Royal Soc. B</i> , 276: 3429-3437.
22 10/2/2009	Permo-triassic fungal chitin	250MY	Jin, Y. G. et al, <i>Science</i> , 289 (5478): 432-436.
23 8/18/2009	Squid ink	160MY	Whitby, P.R. et al, <i>Geology Today</i> , 24 (3): 95-98.
24 11/5/2009	Salamander muscle, whole	18MY	McNamara, M. et al, <i>Proc. Royal Soc. B</i> , 277 (1680): 423-427.
25 2/25/2010	<i>Sinosauropteryx</i> melanosomes	125MY	Zhang, F. et al, <i>Nature</i> , 463: 1075-1078.

BLOOD & SOFT TISSUES IN FOSSILS

FOSSIL ANALYSES WITH VERIFIED ORIGINAL SOFT TISSUES

Publication Date	Brief Description	Evolutionary Age	Publication
Articles Published in Peer-Reviewed Journals			
26 5/14/2010	Mammal hair in amber	100MY	Vullo, R., <i>Naturwissenschaften</i> , 97 (7): 683-687.
27 5/18/2010	<i>Archaeopteryx</i> original feather remnants	150MY	Bergmann, U., <i>PNAS</i> , 107 (20): 9060-9065.
28 8/9/2010	Mosasaur blood, retina	65-68MY	Lindgren, J., <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 5 (8): e11998.
29 11/12/2010	Penguin feathers	36MY	Clarke, J. A. et al, <i>Science</i> , 330 (6006): 954-957.
30 2/7/2011	Chitin and chitin-associated protein	417MY	Cody, G.D. et al, <i>Geology</i> , 39 (3): 255-258.
31 4/1/2011	C-14 date of mosasaur (24,600 Yrs)	70MY	Lindgren, J. et al, <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 6 (4): e19445.
32 3/23/2011	Lizard tail skin, Green River	40MY	Edwards, N. P. et al, <i>Proc. Royal Soc B</i> , 278: 3209-3218.
33 6/8/2011	<i>T. rex</i> and hadrosaur Type I Collagen	68MY	San Antonio, J. D. et al, <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 6 (6): e20381.
34 6/30/2011	Bird feather pigment	120MY	Wogelius, R. A. et al, <i>Science</i> , 333 (6049): 1622-1626.
35 2013	<i>Triceratops</i> horn soft tissue whole sheet	67MY	Armitage, M. H., and K. L. Anderson, <i>Acta Histochemica</i> , 115 (6): 603-608.
36 10/15/2015	Tube worm chitin	551MY	Moczydlowska, M. F. et al, <i>J. Paleontology</i> , 88 (2): 224-239.
37 7/9/2015	<i>Brachyophosaurus</i> collagen sequence	75MY	Bertazzo, S. et al, <i>Nature Communications</i> , 6: 7352.
38 9/15/2016	<i>Psittacosaurus</i> skin scale keratin	120MY	Vinther, J., et al, <i>Current Biology</i> , 26 (18): 1-7.
39 1/31/2017	<i>Lufengosaurus</i> rib collagen	190MY	Lee, Y.-C. et al, <i>Nature Communications</i> , 8: 14220.
40 8/29/2017	Dinosaur eggshell protoporphyrin, biliverdin	66MY	Wiemann, J. et al, <i>PeerJ</i> , 5: e3706.
41 4/18/2018	Conodont keratin residue	252MY	Terrill, D. F. et al, <i>J. of Analytical Spectrometry</i> , 33: 992-1002.

Selection of Published Reports of Original Soft Tissue Fossils

Papers that were excluded from the list include those with dubious verbiage, especially those that discussed "soft tissues" but failed to specify whether or not the tissues were original or chemically altered to a more resistant material. Those papers that specified the latter were also excluded, to the best of the author's ability to discern. The chart demonstrates that a multitude of verified original soft tissue and biochemical "clocks" have set maximum ages of thousands of years to samples that had all been assigned ages of millions of years.

RADIOMETRIC DATING IS WRONG

bad dates from wrong assumptions (figures 1–5)

ASSUMPTION—CONDITIONS AT TIME ZERO

Scientists do not know how many "daughter atoms" were present when most rocks first formed. So when they test rocks produced by lava flows in recent years, their bad assumptions yield old "ages."



FIGURE 1

BAD RESULTS: "OLD" DATES FOR RECENT ERUPTIONS

A rock formed at Mount St. Helens in 1986 yielded a radiometric age of 350,000 years.




FIGURE 2

A rock formed by lava flows at Mt. Ngauruhoe in 1954 yielded a radiometric age of 3.5 million years.



FIGURE 3

A rock at the top of Grand Canyon, formed by a recent volcanic eruption, yielded the same age as volcanic rocks deep below the canyon wall—1.143 billion years.



Pi Parent Isotope
Di Daughter Isotope

ASSUMPTION—CONSTANT DECAY RATE

Scientists do not know how quickly radioactive atoms decayed in the past. So they assume a constant rate. But when they tested zircon crystals from a borehole in New Mexico, they found two very different dates, depending on what measurement they used.

BAD RESULTS: CONTRADICTORY DECAY RATES

Measuring the uranium in these crystals yields an "age" of 1.5 billion years. But measuring the amount of helium that leaked out as a result of the decay yields an age of 6,000 years.



FIGURE 5

ASSUMPTION—NO CONTAMINATION

Scientists do not know how much the rocks have been contaminated. So they usually assume no contamination.

BAD RESULTS: DIFFERENT DATES FROM THE SAME ROCKS

Contamination of lava flows at Mt. Ngauruhoe, known to be less than 50 years old, yielded three different "ages" for rocks—133 million years, 197 million years, and 3.908 billion years.



FIGURE 4

FIGURE 1: USGS/Cascades Volcano Observatory. FIGURES 2–5: courtesy Andrew Sullivan

Lava Flow, Rock Type, and Location	Year Formed or Known Age	^{40}K - ^{40}Ar "Age"
Kilauea Iki basalt, Hawaii	A.D. 1959	8,500,000 years
Volcanic bomb, Mt. Stromboli, Italy	A.D. 1963	2,400,000 years
Mt. Etna basalt, Sicily	A.D. 1964	700,000 years
Medicine Lake Highlands obsidian, Glass Mountains, California	<500 years	12,600,000 years
Hualalai basalt, Hawaii	A.D. 1800–1801	22,800,000 years
Mt. St. Helens dacite lava dome, Washington	A.D. 1986	350,000 years

Few Examples Showing the Unreliability of Radiometric Dating

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS BUSTED

THE Dinosaur Shocker

NO, IT WAS NOT ITS FEARCE TEATH OR SCARY SKULL THAT SHOCKED MARY SCHWEITZER AND ALL THE EVOLUTIONISTS, IT WAS ITS 68 MY OLD PEACEFUL LOOKING BLOOD DROPS AND FRAGMENT OF SOFT TISSUE WHICH SHOCKED THE WHOLE EVOLUTION COMMUNITY IN OCTOBER 2005, THAT IS UNTIL THEY CLIVERLY IN 2013 BRUSHED IT AWAY, AS USUAL, BY GROUND BREAKING DISCOVERY OF IRON IN THE BLOOD. YES, THIS SPECIFIC TEREX BLOOD, UNLIKE ALL LIVING ORGANISMS, CONTAINS IRON WHICH PRESERVED IT! AND THEY CALL THIS SCIENCE.



Didn't brush his teeth!

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

THIS IS THE SPONTANEOUS UN-
ALTERED REACION OF MARY
SCHWEITZER WHO DISCOVERED
THE BLOOD AND SOFT TISSUE


"It was exactly like looking at a
slice of modern bone, but, of
course, I couldn't believe it."



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED


After 68 million years in the ground, a Tyrannosaurus rex found in Montana was dug up, its leg bone was broken in pieces, and fragments were dissolved in acid in Schweitzer's laboratory at North Carolina State University in Raleigh. "Cool beans," she says, looking at the image on the screen*.

*REF: [Dinosaur Shocker](#)



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

**GOOD
QUESTION!**


A photograph of a dinosaur skeleton, likely a Tyrannosaurus Rex, with its head and neck visible. The skeleton is dark and appears to be in a museum setting. Overlaid on the image is a quote in white text with a black outline. In the bottom right corner, there is a small logo for 'Creation Magazine LIVE!' with a red and blue design.

**"If you take a blood sample
and stick it on the shelf you
have nothing recognizable
in about a week. So
why would there be anything
left in dinosaurs?"**

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

ANOTHER GOOD
QUESTION!

COULD IT BE BECAUSE IT IS JUST
COUPLE THOUSAND YEARS ONLY?
OR AS USUAL?



I said to the lab technician,
The bones, after all, are
sixty-five million years old.
How could blood cells
survive that long?"



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

USA TODAY • TUESDAY, MARCH 29, 2005 • 5

Science

Discovery has paleontologists thrilled to the bone

Blood vessels in T. rex fossil could be a landmark finding

By Dan Vergano
USA TODAY

If paleontologists were writing a TV show, it would be *CSI: Dinosaurs*, driven by the startling discovery of blood vessels inside the fossilized leg of a 68-million-year-old *Tyrannosaurus rex*.

Researchers say the discovery, reported Friday, could herald a new era in dinosaur studies. "Shades of *Jurassic Park*," says paleontologist Nate Murphy of the Judith River Dinosaur Institute in Malta, Mont. "We are going way beyond the bone."

And paleontologists may have to trade pickaxes for lab coats. The find may force them to excavate the fossils more gingerly. "In order to find material for the test-tube jockeys, we are going to have to be a heck of a lot more careful when we recover fossils," says paleontologist Thomas Holtz of the University of Maryland in College Park.

Ironically, the discovery was made after the bone was broken by scientists during the excavation.


The discovery of soft tissue, still springy with blood and other cells apparently inside, is described in the current issue of the journal *Science*. The discovery team, led by Mary Schweitzer of North Carolina State University in Raleigh, suspects that when the outer parts of the leg fossilized, the vessels were trapped within mineralized bone.

"It's astonishing. But if it's confirmed, the biggest implication is that we don't know if this is even rare. There might be many more such preserved tissues inside fossils," Holtz says.

The tyrannosaurus was about 18 when it died. The researchers were bathing the interior of its fossilized leg in a mild acid wash to "demineeralize" the bone. To Schweitzer's surprise, transparent vessels emerged from the wash. "Actually, it was kind of accidental," she says. "I was looking for something else."

Under a microscope, the vessels appeared to contain preserved blood and bone-building cells.

Despite the *Jurassic Park* jokes, scientists give scant odds — "none



By John Wellstead, The Field Museum

Of fossil fame: "Sue," the *Tyrannosaurus rex* skeleton on display at the Field Museum in Chicago, gives an idea of what the creature looked like.

at all," Schweitzer says — of genes being recovered. The best outcome would be proteins revealing the chemistry of dinosaur tissues.


Murphy says those proteins could answer long-standing questions about dinosaur gender, whether dinosaurs were hot- or cold-blooded and tyrannosaurs' relationship to modern birds.

The dinosaur was unearthed in Montana by study co-author Jack Horner's team from the Museum of

the Rockies in Bozeman.

Also discovered in Montana was "Leonardo," which is among the world's best-preserved dinosaurs. Murphy's team discovered Leonardo in 2000 and hopes to examine its interior for soft-tissue remains.

"With modern forensic technologies out there ... we are able to stretch the scientific boundaries to the point of dinosaur *CSI*," Murphy says. "I guess that makes us detectives of deep-time crime."



Science photo

Inside the leg of a tyrannosaur: Regions of demineralized bone show fibrous characteristics not usually seen in fossils.

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

43

Soft Tissue Discovered in Bone of a Dinosaur

Intact after 70 million years, bits of a *T. rex*'s hind leg startle and intrigue researchers.

By ROBERT LEE HOTZ
Times Staff Writer

In bone blasted from Montana sandstone, fossil hunters for the first time have discovered the microscopic soft tissue of a *Tyrannosaurus rex*, preserved almost unaltered inside a bone since the dinosaur died 70 million years ago, scientists announced Thursday.

Scientists at North Carolina State University and at Montana State University's Museum of the Rockies in Bozeman found brownish oblong cells, elastic threads of veins and pliable dabs of red bone marrow in the core of a stout hind leg, the researchers reported in the journal *Science*.


The translucent vessels were so elastic that when one was stretched out and then released it snapped back like a rubber band.


"To my knowledge, preservation to this extent has not been noted in dinosaurs before," said Mary H. Schweitzer, a paleontologist at North Carolina State University in Raleigh.

"The tissues are still soft," said Schweitzer, who led the research team. "The microstructures that look like cells are preserved in every way."

Under a scanning electron microscope, these dinosaur tissues — minute remains of the

[See Dinosaur, Page A18]






TOMBSTONE #4

KEYSTONE #5

GEOLOGIC COLUMN SHOWS EARTH'S HISTORY

Created in early 19th Century and based on the assumption that:

- The deeper a fossil is found the older is the creature.
- The fossils of a layer are for creatures evolved from the lower fossils' creatures.
- A time scale was postulated before any radiometric aging process was discovered, phenomenon like magnetic time scale, buildup of salt in the oceans, earth cooling, ..etc.
- Realistically these layers of the geological column are nothing, but the sedimentary layers caused by the world wide Noah flood. The fossils are the result of hydraulic sorting and the progressive flooding of the different earth levels whereas each layer follows closely the different areas where the different creatures used to live, like shell fish close to the bottom of the oceans while dinosaurs are at higher level because they could at the beginning run away from the rising flood until there was no more place available to run to.
- See also "Grand canyon lie" at the beginning of this presentation.
- The total geological column does not exist in any place, generally few different layers may be found in different places.




100 million
years old?

200 million
years old?

300 million
years old?

400 million
years old?



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE DISCONTINUED


The fossils in the geological column do not represent successive epochs, they are the result of hydraulic sorting during Noah flood and reflects the rising of the water. At the bottom there is the sea creatures that live down deep under water and at the top land animals and birds.

100 million years old?

200 million years old?

300 million years old?

400 million years old?



47

***THE
MILLIONS
OF YEARS
TALE
BUSTED***

Darwin did not like the round numbers so he said the Wealden deposits in England were 306,662,400 years old?

Noah to Abram the Turbulent Years,
by Erich von Fange p. 116


THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED

THE BLOOD AND SOFT TISSUE OF THE TYRANNOSAURUS REX ARE NOT THE ONLY YOUNG FOSSILS, THERE ARE HUNDREDS IF NOT THOUSANDS OF MY'S-AGED YOUNG FOSSILS. WE WILL SAMPLE SOME OF THEM ON THE NEXT FEW SLIDES.

Fossil human hands found in "cretaceous" rock near a large *Ichthyosaurus* (marine dinosaur) by Dr. Jamie Gutierrez in South America. Info, the fossil and more pictures at

[The 130-Million-Year-Old Human Fossil Heist](#)





TOMBSTONE #5


KEYSTONE #6

POLYSTRATE TREE FOSSILS ARE BURIED IN MILLIONS OF YEARS LAYERS

50


In Germany, France, the British Isles, Nova Scotia, California, and several eastern states, fossils that extend through several layers of sedimentary rock have been found. These are called **polystrate*** (PAHL ee STRATE) **fossils**. They are usually tree trunks in an upright or somewhat slanted position. Polystrate fossils furnish clear-cut evidence that sediment was deposited rapidly, as in a flood. If the rock layers surrounding the fossils had formed at the slow rate that evolutionists assumed as they constructed the geologic time scale, the trees would have decayed or fallen rather than fossilized.

One type of polystrate fossil in California is especially interesting. Near Santa Barbara, fish fossils extend through several layers of algae fossils. Evolutionists calculate that each algae layer took hundreds or thousands of years to form. That would mean that the head and tail of the same fish were buried several thousands of years apart! Clearly the beds must have formed rapidly around the fish, not slowly. The most remarkable find was a polystrate whale fossil extending through many layers and many thousands



15B-17 Polystrate tree trunk near Essen-Kupferdreh, Germany; the overall height of the tree trunk is approxi-

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE POLYSTRATE FOSSILS



**Standing,
petrified
trees found in
Yellowstone
National
Park,
Wyoming,
USA**


See Impact #268
*The Yellowstone
Petrified Forests*
by John Morris Ph.D.



A Classic Polystrate Fossil

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE POLYSTRATE FOSSILS

This 30 foot petrified tree is one of hundreds found in the Kettles coal mines near Cookeville, TN. The top and bottom are in different coal seams dated thousands of years different in age.
www.bible.ca/track
 s
 Don Patton *



vertical trees in the Coal Measures sandstone, St.-Étienne, F
 Credner's *Elemente der Geologie*.

A Text-Book of Geology by Charles Schuchert,
 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. London p. 784

The polystrate trees are upside down extending through many layers of coal.

Picture from
 Tree of Contention
 Silvia Baker p.12



Polystrate tree trunks
 Etienne, France.

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE *POLYSTRATE FOSSILS*

53

The evolutionist has only two choices to solve this dilemma:


- 1. The trees stood upright** for millions of years while the sediment layers formed around them.
- 2. The trees grew through hundreds of feet of solid sedimentary rock** looking for sunlight.

There is another explanation:
The trees were buried upright during a global flood.

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE POLYSTRATE FOSSILS

- Spirit Lake is at the foot of St. Helen Mountain. It was covered by uprooted trees from the woods around it as result of Mt. St. Helen eruption in 1982.
- Like the polystrate trees, these trees have neither roots nor branches, just the trunks.
- They don't have bark either, all the bark was stripped due to pumping to each other.
- The bark formed 30 feet thick beet layer on the bottom of the lake. With time these trees will be polystrate trees.
- Dr. Stephen Austin suggested that such bark layer when covered by sediment like during Noah flood would under pressure, moisture, and heat be converted into coal or oil depending on the different conditions.

- Scientists estimate there are 20,000 trees in the bottom of Spirit Lake.
- Many of them are buried upright and some are 15 feet deep in sediments.
- They seem to settle out species giving the appearance of a complete forest.





Please click on the picture to see the play button

Credit: [Creation Science Evangelism \(CSE\)](#): Click: [Polystrate Fossils - Creation Evidence](#)

POLYSTRATE FOSSILS -- EVIDENCE AGAINST MILLIONS OF YEARS


56

*M
O
V
I
E

T
I
M
E*

Please click on the picture to see the play button

Credit: CREATION MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL ([Cmi](#)),



TOMBSTONE #6

KEYSTONE #7

FOSSILS TAKE MILLIONS OF YEARS TO FORM



Mummified dog in a tree!

Southern Forest World Museum in Waycross, GA Tu-Sat. 9-5 pm.
912-285-4056, Don Brown has the dog.
Or see: Mr. Mike Taylor at the Heritage Center next door. 912-285-4260.

Four year old hound found twenty feet up in this hollow Chestnut Oak.



Petrified cowboy leg found in a dry creek bed near the West Texas town of Iraan, about 1980 by Mr. Jerry Stone, an employee of Corvette oil company.

The bones of the partial leg and foot within the boot were revealed by an elaborate set of **C.T. Scans performed at Harris Methodist Hospital in Bedford, Texas on July 24, 1997.** The Radiologic Technician was Evelyn Americus, AART. A complete set of these scans remains with the boot at the Creation Evidence Museum in Glen Rose, Texas. 254-897-3200

Fossilization/petrification does not need millions of years, just the proper minerals and as little time as shown by these recent day samples. See next couple slide.

FOSSILS ARE NOT OLD, THEY FIT NOAH FLOOD


- The famous **Petrifying Well** at Mother Shipton's Cave, **Knaresborough, North Yorkshire**.
- If an object is placed into such a well and left there for a **period of weeks or months the object acquires a stony exterior**.
- It is an entirely natural phenomenon and due to a process of **evaporation and deposition in waters with an unusually high mineral content**.
- During Noah flood dead animals got buried quickly with wet sediments. Under sediment pressure and minerals the corpses were petrified in many cases before the bacteria degenerated the soft tissues.



The famous Petrifying Well at Mother Shipton's Cave, Knaresborough, North Yorkshire

HOW TO BE FOSSILIZED

Uniformitarian's misleading fossilization formation.



Textbook uniformitarian account. The fish Would be devoured by scavengers before It is covered by sediments UNLESS the sediments covered it immediately as during Noah flood.

[Dinosaur skin rapid fossilization – YouTube](#)

The proper formation of fossils: Click the link or the box.




Please click the white screen above

V T
I I
D M
E E
O



THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE BUSTED



This incredible fossil of an ichthyosaur, buried and fossilised while giving birth, is clear evidence of its having been buried quickly by water-borne sediments. The fossil record is consistent with creatures having been buried suddenly, otherwise most creatures would either rot or be devoured by scavengers.

Photo copyright Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Stuttgart.

Creation Magazine 12-99, 1-800-350-3232

Fast Fossils




Petrified bowlers **hat**
found in New Zealand

Creation Magazine 2-2001, p.11

The Limestone Cowboy hat

THE MILLIONS OF YEARS TALE *BUSTED*



It is commonly believed that petrification is a process taking millions of years... not true! These fossilized wooden particles are just a few inches wide, but they are made of petrified flour sacks from the Blue Spring area. (Note: it is not the size of the sack.)

PETRIFIED FLOUR

Tina Wadhwa




THE PETRIFIED FLOUR is the Florida State University in Gainesville, Fla., being a curious article to give up. It is, after all, just a few inches wide, but they are made of petrified flour sacks.

They are not made of wood, but they have a similar texture, resembling petrified wood. They look exactly like the sacks of flour! The bottom of one sack is damaged and even petrified, a pattern of white. The top is pulled together, complete with petrified handles as if it was once full of flour.

Although they look like bags of flour, they are heavy and difficult to lift. The largest one weighs 10 kg (22 lbs) — even though it is only a few inches wide.

The bags had different uses. A bag of flour is usually soft and breaks when it is used. These bags are as hard as rock. They make a loud, sharp sound when stepped on, and would break apart if you stepped on them.

The bags were found in the spring, which is the same place where the flour was used to make the flour. The flour was used to make the flour, and the flour was used to make the flour.





Who Cut the Wood?

Fossil flour bags



Credit: Creation Evidence Museum of Texas ([CEMot](#)),

Click: [Creation evidence in the 21st century](#)





TOMBSTONE #7


WHAT IS EVOLUTION ANYWAY?

James Hutton

1726-1796,
Farmer

In his book
*Theory of the
Earth*, James said
the earth **is much
older** than most
people thought.



HBJ
Earth Science p.19



1795

Charles Lyell,
1797- 1875

Scottish **physician**
In his book
Principles of Geology
he made up
geological column
scaling it in millions
of years before any
dating process was
ever known.




1830

6/10/2017

WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

QUICK REVIEW-CONTINUED



Reference: "Creation Seminar 4 Lies in the Textbooks Dr Kent Hovind"


Charles Darwin,
1809-1882

Unemployed preacher
In his book **Origin of Species** he said

"It is a truly wonderful fact that all animals and all plants throughout all time and space should be related to each other."

6/10/2017

The age of revolutions and Anti-Monarchy



WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

QUICK REVIEW-CONTINUED




- IT ALL STARTED DURING THE **AGE OF REVOLUTION** (APPROXIMATELY 1774 TO 1848) WHEN EVERYONE WAS TRYING TO GET RID OF THE MONARCHS AND ALL AUTHORITIES AS WELL
- 1790 JAMES HUTTON (**FARMER!**) SAID THE EARTH IS MUCH OLDER THAN WHAT THE BIBLE SAYS **MADE PEOPLE DOUBT THE EARTH WAS 6000 YEARS OLD**

- 1830 CHARLES LYELL (**LAWYER!**) PUBLISHED "PRINCIPLES OF GEOLOGY" GIVING THE **FICTITIOUS GEOLOGY COLUMN** WITH SCALE IN 100'S OF MILLIONS OF YEARS EVEN BEFORE THE DATING PROCESSES WERE KNOWN, **MADE PEOPLE DOUBT THE FLOOD**
- THE KNOCKOUT BLOW CAME BY CHARLES DARWIN (**UNEMPLOYED PREACHER!**) IN 1859 BY HIS "**ON THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES** BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION, OR THE PRESERVATION OF FAVORED RACES IN THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE" **MADE PEOPLE DOUBT THE CREATOR**

WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

QUICK REVIEW-CONTINUED



WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

QUICK REVIEW-CONTINUED

- During the revolution age 1790-1870 everyone wanted to get rid of all authorities including God
- 1795 James Hutton's book made people doubt the Genesis
- 1830 Charles Lyell book made people doubt the flood
- 1859 Charles Darwin book made people doubt God
- The next 50 years of godless thinking led to **humanism, racism, communism, Nazism, and plans for a New World Order.**
- Leading to the **bloodiest century** in 6000 years: twentieth century
- Following the world wars the godless thinking clothed in liberalism and under the slogan of progress it became stylish to rewrite/reshape all aspects of science and literature in "evolution" precepts. And all trickled down to education.




WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

CONTINUED

- The idea of evolution goes back to ancient Egyptians and Greeks but had never come to prominence
- In the 17th century Darwin under strong influence from Lyell's book he rebirthed the idea just because he observed 14 varieties of Finches in Galapagos islands, did he overlook the different dog shapes and sizes in England?
- A stupid observation and baseless conclusion still misleads millions of highly educated scientists in age we travel between the planets and decipher dinosaur genomes!

“It is a truly wonderful fact that all animals and all plants throughout all time and space should be related to each other.”

Charles Darwin Origin of Species p. 170



WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

CONTINUED

- The first chapter of the Holy Bible is dedicated to stress that all living organisms have off-springs **each after his own kind** however an unemployed preacher breaches this clear teaching and derails the whole humanity into abyss of lies.
- “after his kind” Is the timeless and precise term for what Francis Crick discovered in 1951-1953; i.e. DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid).
- The irony Darwin was buried in the West Minister abbey:
“If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?”
! Psalm 11:3

“...The fruit tree
yielding fruit **after**
his kind...v. 12 ...the
living creature **after**
his kind...”v. 24
(10 times in chapter 1)

Genesis 1



H

WHAT IS EVOLUTION?

CONTINUED

Unstable/Unverifiable

i.e. Religion

1. **Cosmic Evolution:** The origin of Time, Space, and Matter, i.e., the Big Bang.
2. **Chemical Evolution:**
 - i. Big bang supposedly produced Hydrogen and some Helium
 - ii. how did we get the 92 elements formed plus the synthetic ones[#]?
 - iii. How the chemicals evolved?
3. **Stellar and planetary evolution:** Origin of stars and planets.
 - i. No one has ever seen a star or planet forms, we see stars dying.
 - ii. Statistically a star dies every 26 years, in 20 billion years we should see millions of did stars, how come we see few hundreds only (perfect for 6000 years universe ☺)?

[#])Do not appear in nature, AN=95-118

WHAT IS EVOLUTION? CONTINUED

Untestable/Unverifiable

i.e. Religion

4. **Organic evolution:** Origin of life.

i. How did life come from none living material? Have never seen it.

5. **Macro evolution:** Changing from one kind of animals to another kind.

i. Never seen dog producing cat or mice

ii. Even if it takes millions of years why you've never seen transitional fossils?

6. **Micro-evolution:** Variations within kinds.

i. Rational creationists believe in this, it is producing different species from one kind, like different species of dogs or horses. It should be called variations instead of micro evolution because evolutionists try to have free ride on it for the other none scientific kinds.

Testable &

Verifiable

WHAT IS EVOLUTION? CONTINUED ⁷⁵

20-4 Evolution: Change Over Time


Earlier in this chapter you read about Earth's history. You learned that by studying rocks and fossils, scientists have developed a fairly accurate picture of how Earth and its inhabitants have changed over long periods of time. Although the picture is far from complete, there is no doubt that changes have occurred and that many of the living things on Earth today are very different from the living things that existed in the past. In other words, there is no doubt that living things have changed over time.

How and why have living things changed? And

Guide for Reading

Focus on these questions as you read.

▶ What is evolution?



“Living things”- This #5, what happened to the first 4 stages of evolution?


Prentice Hall *Earth Science* 1999 p. 627

KEYSTONE #8

MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

Genetic mutations produce new genetic information, but do these lead to *macroevolution*? No truly useful mutations have ever been observed. The one most cited is the disease sickle-cell anemia (SSA), which provides an enhanced resistance to malaria. It was found that the faulty gene that causes the fatal SSA causes certain level of “hemoglobin” which prevents the disease taking hold after the person that has been infected*. Like feeless persons don’t get athletes foot.

*Ref: [Sickle cell disease](#)



MUTATION MAKES LEADS TO EVOLUTION

Highest 21 common mutations disorders*

P – Point mutation, or any insertion/deletion entirely inside one gene.

D – Deletion of a gene or genes.

C – Whole chromosome extra, missing, or both (see Chromosome abnormality).

T – Trinucleotide repeat disorders: gene is extended in length.

*Ref: Are all mutations harmful?

Disorder	Mutation	Chromosome
<u>22q11.2 deletion syndrome</u>	D	22q
<u>Angelman syndrome</u>	DCP	15
<u>Canavan disease</u>		17p
<u>Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease</u>		
<u>Color blindness</u>	P	X
<u>Cri du chat</u>	D	5
<u>Cystic fibrosis</u>	P	7q
<u>Down syndrome</u>	C	21
<u>Duchenne muscular dystrophy</u>	D	Xp
<u>Familial Hypercholesterolemia</u>	P	
<u>Haemochromatosis</u>	P	6
<u>Haemophilia</u>	P	X
<u>Klinefelter syndrome</u>	C	X
<u>Neurofibromatosis</u>		17q/22q/?
<u>Phenylketonuria</u>	P	12q
<u>Polycystic kidney disease</u>	P	16 (PKD1) or 4 (PKD2)
<u>Prader–Willi syndrome</u>	DC	15
<u>Sickle-cell disease</u>	P	11p
<u>Spinal muscular atrophy</u>	DP	5q
<u>Tay–Sachs disease</u>	P	15
<u>Turner syndrome</u>	C	X

MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

78

After searching the internet for hours to find beneficiary mutation I found 4 in the same musical keynote of the SSA, as a matter of fact the SSA is one of them.

Beneficial mutation

1. **#1: Apolipoprotein AI-Milano:** Apo-AI is one of the HDLs, already known to be beneficial because they remove cholesterol from artery walls
2. **Beneficial mutation #2:** Increased bone density: One of the genes that governs bone density in human beings is called low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5, or LRP5 for stronger and denser than average.
3. **Beneficial mutation #3:** Malaria resistance (SSA):

Chloroquine Resistance in Plasmodium falciparum
Malaria Parasites Conferred by pfcrt Mutations



4. **Beneficial mutation #4:** Tetrachromatic vision, this one should be counted as straight harmful. It is concerning 2 genes in the X chromosome which are responsible to see the green b/w the green colors. Now, the smart logic is stating that men are more likely see reddish or greenish than women because the women have 2 X chromosomes and thus have a backup. This is so more stupid than the SSA mutation, was the male/female creation a result of mutation?

MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

“No matter how numerous they may be, mutations **do not produce any kind of **evolution.**”**



Pierre-Paul Grasse, *Evolution of Living Organisms*
1977, p. 88

They rearrange existing information but **do not increase genetic complexity.**

Dr. Lee Spetner, who taught information theory for a decade at Johns Hopkins University and the Weizman Institute, spent years studying mutations. He has written an important new book, *Not by Chance: Shattering the Modern Theory of Evolution*. In it, he writes: **“In all the reading I’ve done in the life-sciences literature, I’ve never found a mutation that added information. . . . All point mutations that have been studied on the molecular level turn out to **reduce the genetic information** and not increase it.”**

Lee Spetner, *Not By Chance!: Shattering the Modern Theory of Evolution* (Brooklyn, N.Y.: Judaica Press, 1997), 131, 138.

MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION



This is what mutation gives!

MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION



PORTLAND, Ore. (AP) — A photo of a one-eyed kitten named Cy drew more than a little skepticism when it turned up on various Web sites, but medical authorities have a name for the bizarre condition.

"Holoprosencephaly" causes facial deformities, according to the National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke, part of the National Institutes of Health. In the worst cases, a single eye is located where the nose should be, according to the institute's Web site.

Traci Allen says the kitten she named Cy, short for Cyclops, was born the night of Dec. 28 with the single eye and no nose.

"You don't expect to see something like that," the 35-year-old Allen said by telephone from her home in Redmond in central Oregon.

Allen said she stayed up all night with the deformed kitten on her recliner, feeding Cy a liquid formula through a syringe. She says she cared for the kitten the next day as well, until it died that evening.

Allen had taken digital pictures that she provided to The Associated Press. Some bloggers have questioned the authenticity of the photo distributed on Jan. 6.

AP regional photo editor Tom Stathis said he took extensive steps to confirm the one-eyed cat was not a hoax. Stathis had Allen ship him the memory card that was in her camera. On the card were a number of pictures — including holiday snapshots, and four pictures of a one-eyed kitten. The kitten pictures showed the animal from different perspectives.

Fabricating those images in sequence and in the camera's original picture format, from the varying perspectives, would have been virtually impossible, Stathis said.

Meanwhile, Cy the one-eyed cat may be dead, but it has not left the building.

Allen said she's keeping the cat's corpse in her freezer for now, in case scientists would like it for research.

She said one thing's for certain: "I'm not going to put it on eBay."

<http://cnews.canoe.ca/CNEWS/WeirdNews/2006/01/10/1388101-ap.html>



This is what mutation gives!

MUTATION MAKES LEADS TO EVOLUTION

**Two
Faced
Kitten**



[Http://www.phillyburbs.com/couriertimes/packages/0611image.shtml](http://www.phillyburbs.com/couriertimes/packages/0611image.shtml)



Mutant but not Ninja!

two headed animals

This is what mutation gives!


MUTATION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

From the letters in the word **Christmas** you can
get:

has, mat, Sam, Christ, ram, sat, hit, etc.

but you cannot get:

Xerox, Zebra or Queen!



**Why don't they show
us an example of a
beneficial mutation ?**




Figure 15-11 Normal fruit flies have two wings. This mutant has four. This rare mutation, like most mutations, is harmful. Beneficial mutations are the raw material for natural selection.

KEYSTONE #9

NATURAL SELECTION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

selection.” Over a long period of time, natural selection can lead to evolution. Helpful variations gradually accumulate in a species, while unfavorable ones disappear.

Natural Selection: The nature is not living thing much less thinking or selecting anything. Naturally the individuals whose capabilities and traits better fit the environment will have better chances to survive and reproduce. On the other end of the scale the individuals with poor fit of their capabilities and traits will not thrive or reproduce as much and slowly either migrate to more convenient environment or get extinct. In other words, the abstract “Natural Selection” weeds out the weak and feeble individual, it does not explain how good individuals were “evolved”. Indeed, it is another characteristic of falling world resulted from Adam’s sin.



Prentice Hall Focus on Life Science 2001, p. 141

NATURAL SELECTION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

21.1 Genes vary in natural populations.


Genetic Variation Is the Raw Material of Evolution

Evolution: Descent with Modification


The word *evolution* is widely used in the natural and social sciences. It refers to how an entity—be it a social system, a gas, or a planet—changes through time. Although development of the modern concept of evolution in biology can be traced to Darwin's *On the Origin of Species*, the first five editions of this book never actually used the term! Rather, Darwin used the phrase "descent with modification." Although many more complicated definitions have been proposed, Darwin's phrase probably best captures the essence of biological evolution: Through time, species accumulate differences; as a result, when new species are formed, the descendant species differ from their ancestors.

Natural Selection: An Important Mechanism of Evolutionary Change

Darwin was not the first to propose a theory of evolution. Rather, he followed a long line of earlier philosophers and naturalists who deduced that the many kinds of organisms around us were produced by a process of evolution. Unlike his predecessors, however, Darwin proposed **natural selection** as the mechanism of evolution. Natural selection produces evolutionary change when some individuals



(a) Lamarck's theory: variation is acquired.



Creationists have no argument with natural selection, **we thought of it first.** It is only a **conservative process that removes defective organisms and keeps the species strong.**

(Edward Blyth, 24 years before Darwin)

NATURAL SELECTION LEADS TO EVOLUTION

“Natural selection may have a stabilizing effect, but **it does not promote speciation**. It is not a creative force as many **people have suggested**.”

Daniel Brooks “A downward Slope to Greater Diversity,” *Science*, Vol. 217, 24 September 1982, p. 1240



In the 6th edition of Darwin's book, *The Origin of the Species*, he abandoned natural selection as being the force behind Evolution due to the lack of evidence and theoretical problems.

“Natural Selection is incompetent to account for the incipient stages of useful structures”

Charles Darwin, *The Origin of the Species*, 6th edition, (New York: The Modern Library 1877), p. 66

MILLIONS OF YEARS WON'T HELP EITHER

- Dr. Richard Lenski Professor of Microbial Ecology Michigan State University has been growing *E. coli* continuously since 1988; over 46,000 generations equivalent to millions of years of evolution.
- The *E. coli* stayed *E. coli* though it became more fit to live in the lab however it lost the genes of breaking down certain sugars they were not given to it, it lost mobility genes because food is abundant, it lost certain regulatory control. They got fat and lazy, they can't survive in the nature like other *E. coli*
- Evolutionists think erroneously this experiment proved their point of evolution because the bacteria gained new traits, which is wrong.

Long Term Lines of *Escherichia coli*

E. coli grown continuously
beginning in 1988;
>64,000 generations




DEBUNKING EVOLUTION CLIP: MUTATIONS ARE BAD



Please click on the picture to see the play button

Debunking Evolution Clip: Mutations Are Bad




**MUTATION
LEADS TO
EVOLUTION**

TOMBSTONE #8

KEYSTONE #10 SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST




Factory to produce
cars with a
quality control
section.



How long will it take?

Quality control will produce
reliable cars, never airplanes.



SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST CONTINUED



*Survival of the fittest produce evolution. **This is incorrect**, It is a fact of life in a world that has been tainted with death and bloodshed, but only since Adam rebelled against his Maker. It does not produce any new genetic information; it just weeds out feeble individuals who don't have what it takes to survive and reproduce due to bad mutations, sickness, improper genes and the like.*

'Survival of the Fittest' is not Evolution

SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST CONTINUED

Another circular reasoning used often by evolutionists:


Q. Why did it **survive**?

A. Because it is the **fittest**.

Q. How do you know it is the **fittest**?

A. Because it **survived**.






TOMBSTONE #9

SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST CONTINUED

“Fruit flies **refuse to become anything but fruit flies** under any circumstances yet devised.”

Lane Lester, Ph.D. in genetics, *The Natural Limits to Biological Change*, 1989, p.89.



SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST CONTINUED

Conclusions from Fruit Fly Experiment

1. All mutations observed produced flies that were inferior to the original fly.
Good observation!
2. Fruit flies **must have evolved** as far as they can go.
Bad Conclusion



GEORGE GILCHRIST—CLARKSON UNIVERSITY

Northern fruit flies grow larger wings.
● Adapting at breathtaking speed

Darwin, as fit as ever

Evolution in action

BY TIM APPENZELLER

This is evolution?

“Flies in the North have wings 4% larger than flies in the South!”


US News and World Report 1-24-2000, p. 49

US News and World Report 1-24-2000, p. 49

80

SURVIVAL FOR THE FITTEST CONTINUED

Just a thought: The DNA errors are corrected by enzymes; the **success rate is 99%**. For those evolutionists and theistic evolutionists: **If mutations**, which are the results of errors, **are the mechanism for evolution**, does the nature/God fight it-/Himself?




DNA DAMAGE (= MUTATION) REPAIR

97

*M
O
V
I
E

T
I
M
E*

DNA DAMAGE (= MUTATION) REPAIR



*M
O
V
I
E

T
I
M
E*



TOMBSTONE #10

KEYSTONE #11 THE PEPPERED MOTH MYTH*

Survival for the fittest continued


In the early 1950s, British physician and amateur moth-collector Bernard Kettlewell released light and dark peppered moths onto nearby tree trunks and watched as birds ate the less camouflaged ones. He then released moths that had been marked on the underside with a tiny spot of paint. When he later recaptured some, the proportion of moths matching the color of nearby tree trunks was significantly higher than in the batch he had released, consistent with the camouflage-predation theory. Kettlewell called this "Darwin's missing evidence," and it quickly became standard fare in biology textbooks. It is obvious the tally was on the original moth generation and not its offspring to claim any evolution.

*Ref: <http://www.discovery.org/a/1263>



THE PEPPERED MOTH MYTH

101



The peppered moth is a great proof of design! God wants to make sure the moth population survives no matter what happens to the environment. On dark tree bark, dark moth will be better camouflaged and thus survive to reproduce and vice versa. God put both colors in the moth genes to help some will survive in all circumstances. That is planning by ingenious designer. Ford and GM put heaters and air conditioners in most of their cars.

The moth is not proof of evolution. "Melanism: Evolution in Action" by Michael E. Majerus, Oxford University Press, 1998

WRONG CONCLUSION

- The peppered moth experiment is a perfect example of good trial to test a theory.
- It is unfortunately a perfect example of prejudiced conclusion.
- In other words, good observation is useless if the conclusion was not made already before the test.


One day some brilliant scientists did an experiment with a frog to determine how far it could jump.



They measured the distance it jumped and then, one by one, removed its legs to measure the difference it made.

WRONG CONCLUSION


Distance (in inches) a frog can jump as legs are removed.




1. Frog jumped less as legs were removed. **Good observation!**
2. A frog with no legs goes **deaf!**

**Bad
Conclusion!**

Distance (in inches) a frog can jump as legs are removed.





TOMBSTONE #11

KEYSTONE #12


HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES

- Evolutionists claim that:

- Homologous structures,
 - Vestigial structures,
 - Molecules
- prove evolution.

} Will be
handled in
the next lies

- We will see that these are pointing to a supreme genius designer & creator.



Holt Biology, 2004, p. 286


HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES PROVES COMMON ANCESTOR

- Structures that appear homologous often develop under the control of genes that are *not* homologous.
- Biologist Michael Land² sounds like a creationist when he mentions in passing that the vertebrate eye “shares design features but not evolution” with the eye of the cephalopod mollusks such as the octopus.
- Certain shrimp-like animals that live in deep ocean darkness, he says, have compound eyes with lenses all arranged to focus light at a common point (rather than forming multiple images, as most compound eyes do). But, he continues, some members of the group have “lens cylinders” that smoothly bend the incoming light (because of smoothly varied refractive indices), whereas others have square facets with a “mirror system”

Ref: AiG <https://answersingenesis.org/biology/homology-comparative-similarities/>

Figure 9 Homologous structures

The forelimbs of vertebrates contain the same kinds of bones, which form in the same way during embryological development.



286

Holt Biology, 2004, p. 286

HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN

According to this mosaic concept, also called modular or matrix, God used a basic plan in making living creatures, somewhat similar to the plan He used in making different non-living substances. All the countless chemical substances in the universe are made from different combinations and proportions of only about a hundred different elements, usually displayed in a “chemistry mosaic” called the periodic table. Each kind of chemical compound can be represented by a formula expressing the number, kind, and arrangement of elements within it.



M
O
V
I
E

T
I
M
E

HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN

Perhaps God used genes as “elements” in making the various kinds of life, so that conceivably each different kind of life could be represented by a “formula” representing the number, kind, and arrangement of different genes in its chromosomes. Such formulas would, of course, be much larger and much more complex than those for the most complicated chemical substances. Nevertheless, the mosaic concept does suggest that all the incredible variety and diversity of life forms we see about us may be constructed using only the information in a few thousand DNA segments, compared to about 100 chemical elements. Even more exciting, creationists might be able to use a mosaic pattern (or mathematical matrix) to predict the existence of unknown organisms and their features, like Mendeleev used his periodic table to predict the existence and properties of elements before their discovery.

MOVIE TIME



[50 Years of Scientific Challenges to Evolution:
Remembering The Wistar Symposium](#)


HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN

God uses the genes as building material to craft His living creatures as uses the elements (of Mendeleev table) to build the different chemicals. And because all the living creatures live and interact together in the same circumstance, they have to be compatible with each other's otherwise how can our enzymes digest vegetables or animal products.

Moreover, if the creatures with these homologous structures have the same ancestor they should formed of the same gene segment sequences in the different creatures. As it seen in this example in the picture they are not. This is example of 6 different vertebrates allegedly have common ancestor. The front legs and the rear legs come from entirely different group of segments from species to species still have similar features.

Homology

Cat:	90%
Cow:	80%
Mouse:	75%
Fruit Fly:	44%
Banana:	50%
Yeast:	26%
A weed (thale cress):	18%




Embryologist Sir Gavin deBeer

"But if it is true that through the genetic code, genes code for enzymes that synthesize proteins which are responsible (in a manner still unknown in embryology) for the differentiation of the various parts in their normal manner, what mechanism can it be that results in the production of homologous organs, the same 'patterns', in spite of their not being controlled by the same genes? I asked this question in 1938, and it has not been answered."

Sir Gavin de Beer, *Homology, An Unsolved Problem*, Oxford Biology Reader, 1971


16:04 / 28:30

HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN



- The eye of a human and the octopus's eye are remarkably similar, each containing a lens, iris, retina, an optic nerve, and muscles for rotating the eye and controlling the iris.
- Yet these similarities cannot be explained by common descent.
- Evolutionists explain these similarities as due to convergent evolution.
- But that is an assumption, not an explanation.





HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN

- The real focus of Land's article, however, is “divergence,” the occurrence of quite distinct structures in plants and animals that otherwise are supposed to be close evolutionary relatives.
- Comparing the mirrors with the lens cylinder system, Land says, “Both are successful and very sophisticated image-forming devices, but I cannot imagine an intermediate form [or common ancestral type] that would work at all.”

Ref: AiG :

1.4 Comparative Similarities: Homology



Pontiac lug nuts will fit on a Chevy.

HOMOLOGOUS STRUCTURES IS DESIGN

- The kind of design in these eyes, he says, seems impossible to explain as a result of evolutionary relationship.
- the shrimp-like animals with different systems should not be classified as evolutionary relatives, even though they are otherwise quite similar.
- “trying not to come to the conclusion that these eyes had been put there by God to confuse scientists.
- these eyes were put there by God to *inform* scientists.

Ref: AiG:


1.4 Comparative Similarities: Homology


In plain English

Homologous structures point to a common ingenious designer/creator.

A good designer changes his technique only when it is necessary to serve the purpose.

COMPARATIVE ANATOMY: Homologous Structures






TOMBSTONE #12

KEYSTONE #13

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES PROVES EVOLUTION



vestigial (vē-stij'ē-əl) Relating to a body part that has become small and lost its use because of evolutionary change. Whales, for example, have small bones located in the muscles of their body walls that are vestigial bones of hips and hind limbs.

- A new and indispensable understanding today's
- Up-to-date coverage, discoveries and contro
- In-depth explanations, on important scientific
- Detailed illustrations, photographs and custom drawings

© 2005

In 1925, evolutionary zoologist Horatio Hackett Newman stated: “There are, according to Wiedersheim, no less than **180 vestigial structures** in the human body, sufficient to make of a man a veritable walking museum of antiquities.”


• VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

118

Please use control + left-mouse click on the link below

• VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

119



Click: [Debunking Evolution - Vestigial Structures](#)

Please use control + left-mouse click on the link below

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

CONTINUED



Ask A
Scientist
Zoology Archive



Department of Energy United States of America



name Nancy
status student
age 15

Question - What is the function of the appendix in a human before it is taken out through surgery?

Hi Nancy...as you must know the appendix is a tube of which one end is closed and the other opens into the cecum, that is the beginning of the large intestine. The appendix occurs in men and in other animals: higher apes, wombats, some rodents and a few lower mammals. And now for your question: the appendix has no known physiological function but probably represents a degenerated portion of the cecum that, in ancestral forms, aided in cellulose digestion.



Ask A
Scientist
Zoology Archive



Department of Energy United States of America



name Nancy
status student
age 15

Question - What is the function of the appendix in a human before it is taken out through surgery?

It is believed that the appendix will gradually disappear in human beings as our diet does not include cellulose anymore.

In the other animals, the appendix is much larger and provides a pouch off the main intestinal tract, in which cellulose can be trapped and be subjected to prolonged digestion. And thanks for asking NEWTON! Mabel (Dr. Mabel Rodrigues)===== The appendix contains lymphoid tissue and may produce antibodies; however, there is no definitive function per se. We can obviously live without it.----Up-date:200207
<http://146.139.100.40/webpages/askasci/mole00/mole00225.htm> and <http://www.sciam.com/askexpert/medicine/medicine8.html> Steve Sample

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

CONTINUED


“Long regarded as a vestigial organ with no function in the human body, the **appendix is now thought to be one of the sites where **immune responses are initiated.**”**


Roy Hartenstein, *Grolier Encyclopedia*, 1998
 See also “*Vestigial Organs*” are Fully Functional
 Jerry Bergman and George Howe
 & *Scientific American* Nov. 2001 p. 84

“The **appendix is required to activate **killer B cells** in your immune systems like your **thymus** activates “T” cells.**

Dr. Ron Allard dr ronallard@yahoo.com

For more about the appendix see
 this book p. 42.
 Available from CSE \$5.50





Glenco
Biology

Figure 12-4 When comparing the caecum of a horse, the caecum of humans is thought to be vestigial.



APPENDIX
GLENCO BIOL
1994 P 311

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES CONTINUED

Appendix may have important function, new research suggests

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES


CONTINUED



Promiscuous Whales Make Good Use of Their Pelvises

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

124



ution. Your arm appears quite different from the wing of a bird or the flipper of a dolphin. Yet if you examine **Figure 9.6**, you can see that the arrangement and order of bones in these structures are very similar. Biologists say that the bones in these structures are homologous.

Homologous structures are structures that are common to many different species. Homologous structures are structures that are inherited from a common ancestor.

Although suited for flying, swimming, and grasping, the limbs of the animals above are modified versions of the front fins of their common fish ancestor.

Vestigial structures are clues to evolutionary origins

If you were designing a submarine, would you include a set of wheels in your design? Of course not. Wheels would serve no purpose on a submarine. However, structures without function are found in living things. A whale propels itself with its powerful tail and has no need for hind limbs or

pelvis that serves no apparent purpose, as shown in **Figure 9.7**. Structures with no purpose are known as **vestigial structures**. Vestigial structures are remnants of an organism's evolutionary past. The whale's pelvis is evidence of its evolution from four-legged, land-dwelling mammals.





Figure 9.7 Whales have a vestigial pelvis which serves no apparent purpose. This pelvis is a remnant of the whale's evolutionary past.

VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

CONTINUED

This is "all that is left of the tail that most mammals still use..."
Still teaching this lie!
June 2004



Articulation.—With the sacrum.

Attachment of Muscles.—To four pairs and one single muscle: on either side, the *Coccygeus*; behind, the *Gluteus maximus* and *Extensor coccygis*, when present; at the apex, the *Sphincter ani*; and in front, the *Levator ani*.

The Spine in General.

The **Spinal Column**, formed by the junction of the vertebrae, is situated in the median line, at the posterior part of the trunk; its average length is about two feet two or three inches, measuring along the curved anterior surface of the column. Of this length the cervical part measures about five, the dorsal about eleven, the lumbar about seven inches, and the sacrum and coccyx the remainder. The female spine is about one inch less than that of the male.

Viewed in front, it presents two pyramids joined together at their bases, the upper one being formed by all the vertebrae from the second cervical to the last lumbar, the lower one by the sacrum and coccyx. When examined more closely, the upper pyramid is seen to be formed of three smaller pyramids. The uppermost of these consists of the six





FIG. 22.—Lateral view of the spine.

Coccyx


VESTIGIAL STRUCTURES

126



*PREHENSILE
TAIL (DRAWING)*

Coccyx



TOMBSTONE #13

KEYSTONE #14 BIOGENETICS

128



Ernst Haeckel's fake drawings of Embryos





Haeckel's famous (infamous) set of 24 drawings purporting to show eight different embryos in three stages of development, as published by him in *Anthropogenie*, in Germany, 1874.

“The **similarity** between early stages in the **development** of many different animals **helped convince Darwin** that all forms of life shared **common ancestors.**”

BSCS *Biological Science*
1978 p. 628

BIOGENETIC CONTINUED

Haeckel's
false claims



Although Haeckel confessed to drawing from memory and was convicted of fraud at the University

of Jena, the drawings persist. "That's the real mystery," says Richardson. (of St. George's Hospital Medical School in London) *New Scientist* Sept. 6, 1997 p. 23


BIOGENETIC



Dog embryo at 4 weeks

Human embryo at 4 weeks

Creation Magazine March-May, 1996 p. 34
(1-800-350-3232)



Haeckel's doctored drawings of dog and human embryos, as they appeared in his book *The History of Creation*.²⁸

Creation Magazine March-May, 1996 p. 35

Haeckel's fake drawings convinced the Germans the evolution is true therefore one of the races must have evolved more than the others resulting in two devastating world wars. More importantly in stead of being "... in the image of God created He him; .." Genesis 1:27 we became animal descendants opening flood gates of abortion, divorce, homosexuality, same sex marriage, euthanasia, ..etc. And the list goes on because it threw a cloud of doubt on the Genesis creation record which slowly crept to the rest of the Bible.

THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES
BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION
OR
THE PRESERVATION OF FAVOURED RACES
IN THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE
CHARLES DARWIN
EDITED WITH AN INTRODUCTION
BY J. W. BURROW

BIOGENETIC CONTINUED





FIGURE 17-10 The early stages of embryonic development in several vertebrates. Numerous structural similarities are shared in the early stages, including the presence of a tail and gill pouches. (Robert Pugh)

This **false science** is still in college textbooks!
The World of Biology by Davis, Solomon and Berg
 Used at Troy State University in Troy, AL



BIOGENETIC CONTINUED







Figure 1. Three parallel stages in the development of eight vertebrates. Note how consistently the early embryonic stages are similar, a reflection of common ancestry and descent with modification. (Bat, rabbit, and human drawings modified by permission from Pearson Publishing Group.)





BIOGENETIC



They never have anything to do with breathing!

Asking About Life Tobin and Dusheck 1998 p. 381



1949 Buick Roadmaster Riviera Coupe had the beginnings of "gill ports"

BIOGENETIC CONTINUED



FIGURE 17-10 The early stages of embryonic development in several vertebrates. Numerous structural similarities are shared in the early stages, including the presence of a tail and gill pouches. (Robert Pugh)

This **false science** is still in college textbooks!
The World of Biology by Davis, Solomon and Berg
 Used at Troy State University in Troy, AL



Not a baby at 7 months?



lage that forms the early skeleton, and the body is covered with hair (the lanugo).

At the beginning of the third trimester (6 months), the fetus is still tiny. It will normally more than triple in size before birth. By 7 months, the fetus looks from the outside like a tiny normal baby, but it is not. The lungs and temperature regulation systems are not functional. More important, the central nervous system is

***Biology A Journey Into Life* Arms and Camp
 1991 p. 557**

Figure 27-C

A 5- to 6-week human embryo. The fingers are beginning to develop. The sac on the right overlies the early embryo of all vertebrates up and the placenta takes over the function of the yolk sac.



BIOGENETIC CONTINUED

“Surely the **biogenetic law** is as dead as a doornail”

Keith Stewart Thomson, “Ontogeny and Phylogeny Recapitulated,” *American Scientist*, Vol. 76, May-June 1988, p. 273

“Moreover, the **biogenetic law** has become so deeply rooted in biological thought that **it cannot be weeded out in spite of its having been demonstrated to be wrong** by numerous subsequent scholars”

Walter J. Bock (Dept. of Biological Sciences, Columbia Univ., “Evolution by Orderly Law,” *Science*, Vol. 164, 9 May 1969, pp. 684-685

BIOGENETIC CONTINUED


HAECKEL'S CONFESSION

When tried by the Jena University Court, and convicted, he confessed:

*"A small percent of my embryonic drawings are forgeries; those namely, for which the observed material is so incomplete or insufficient as to **FILL IN AND RECONSTRUCT THE MISSING LINKS** by hypothesis and comparative synthesis."*

*"I should feel utterly condemned...were it not that **HUNDREDS** of the best observers, and biologists **LIE UNDER THE SAME CHARGE.**"*

Records from the University of Jena trial in 1875.
Dr. Edward Blick, Blick Engineering, Norman, OK



Despite its status as a **fake**, Darwin continued to use the **biogenetic law** as the most important **evidence** of **common descent**.

BIOGENETIC DISCONTINUED

137



Ernst Haeckel's fake drawings of Embryos

"Embryologist
Professor"

INTENTIONALLY
FALSIFIED
THE DRAWINGS
TO MAKE THEM
SIMILAR

**THAT IS
STUPID!**



Haeckel's famous (infamous) set of 24 drawings purporting to show eight different embryos in three stages of development, as published by him in *Anthropogenie*, in Germany, 1874.


"The **similarity** between early stages in the **development** of many different animals **helped convince Darwin** that all forms of life shared **common ancestors.**"

BSCS Biological Science
1978 p. 628


BIOGENETIC CONTINUED

“The evolutionary idea that [Sick-mind] **Freud** relied on most heavily in the manuscript is the maxim that ‘**ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny,**’ that is, that the development of the individual recapitulates the evolution of the entire species.”


*Lost Paper Shows Freud's
Effort to Link Analysis and
Evolution, New York Times,
Feb. 10, 1987, p. c4*



BAD EDUCATION OUTCOME SAMPLES




“each *child* as he develops is *retracing* the whole *history* of mankind, *physically* and *spiritually*, step by step.”




“A *baby* starts off in the *womb* as a single tiny *cell*, just the way the *first* living thing appeared in the *ocean*.”

(S)HE IS A HUMAN CONCEPTION TO DECEASE




TOMBSTONE #14


BAD EDUCATION OUTCOME SAMPLES ¹⁴¹



***“Weeks later,
as he lies in
the amniotic
fluid of the
womb, he has
gills like a
fish.”***




(S)HE IS A HUMAN CONCEPTION TO DECEASE

BAD EDUCATION OUTCOME SAMPLES

“And the angel of the LORD said unto her, Behold, thou art with ~~fetus~~ child and shalt bear a son,”

Genesis 16:11



As soon as the sound of your greeting reached my ears, ~~the fetus~~ baby in my womb leaped for joy.

Luke 1:41-44

(S)HE IS A HUMAN CONCEPTION TO DECEASE

BAD EDUCATION OUTCOME SAMPLES

Samuel Alexander, a **21 week** old (less than 5 months) baby, still in the womb, **grabs the doctor's finger** during surgery.

The picture is that of a 21-week-old UNBORN baby named Samuel Alexander Amas, who is being operated on by a surgeon named Joseph Bruner. The baby was diagnosed with spina bifida and would not survive if removed from the mother's womb. Little Samuel's mother, Julie Amas, is an obstetrics nurse in Atlanta. She knew of Dr. Bruner's remarkable surgical procedure. Practicing at Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville, he performs these special operations while the baby is still in the womb. In the procedure, a C-section removed the uterus and the doctor makes a small incision to operate on the baby. During the surgery on little Samuel, the little guy reached his tiny, but fully developed, hand through the incision and firmly grasped the surgeon's finger.



Why is this lie kept in textbooks?
It is the **only way** to “scientifically”
justify abortion!

Nuremburg files web site has names of doctors who do abortions. Mr. Lokey of Opp, AL has

an anti-abortion billboard. Judge Frank Bell sentenced Paul Hill to death. Regina Dinwiddie, KS, MO was a nurse who got converted and protests now.

(S)HE IS A HUMAN CONCEPTION TO DECEASE

BAD EDUCATION OUTCOME SAMPLES

**Not a baby at
7 months?**



lage that forms the early skeleton, and the body is covered with hair (the lanugo).

At the beginning of the third trimester (6 months), the fetus is still tiny. It will normally more than triple in size before birth. By 7 months, the fetus looks from the outside like a tiny normal baby, but it is not. The lungs and temperature regulation systems are not functional. More important, the central nervous system is

Biology A Journey Into Life
Arms and Camp 1991 p.
557

Figure 27-C

A 5- to 6-week human embryo. The fingers are beginning to form. The sac on the right is the yolk sac. The early embryo of all mammals is curled up and the placenta takes care of it. Petit Format/Photo Res



*(S)HE IS A
HUMAN
CONCEPTION
TO DECEASE
CONTINUE*

WHAT IS BEHIND BAD EDUCATION?

*“I suppose the reason why we leapt at
the Origin of Species was that **the idea of
God interfered with our sexual morés**”*

*Sir Julian Huxley - Head of UNESCO - One of the World's leading evolutionists was
asked in a Television Interview **why did the scientific community jump at Darwin's
ideas?***

DISOBEYING THE CREATOR DOESN'T GO UNNOTICED!¹⁴⁶

*AND EVEN AS **THEY DID NOT LIKE TO RETAIN GOD IN THEIR KNOWLEDGE,** GOD GAVE THEM OVER TO A REPROBATE MIND, TO DO THOSE THINGS WHICH ARE NOT CONVENIENT*



Romans 1:28

DISOBEYING THE CREATOR DOESN'T GO UNNOTICED!¹³⁷

*AND FOR THIS CAUSE
GOD SHALL SEND
THEM **STRONG
DELUSION**, THAT
THEY SHOULD
BELIEVE A LIE*



II Thes. 2:11

PRE-CAMBRIAN HUMANS?



Pre-Cambrian human's sandals print fossil stepping on a triplite

PRE-CAMBRIAN HUMANS? CONTINUED



Pre-Cambrian human's cup



PRE-CAMBRIAN HUMANS? CONTINUED



Pre-Cambrian human's hammer, very high metallurgical iron



PRINCIPAL TOMBSTONE



GRAVEYARD OF THE CENTURY

EVOLUTION “~~KEY-STONES~~” TOMB-STONES

153

How KEY-STONES “evolved” to TOMB-STONES (summery):

- 1. Grand Canyon was formed slowly by Colorado River: This is incorrect, The canyon is a spillway of huge lake formed by Noah flood.*
- 2. From sea to land IN MILLIONS OF YEARS: This is incorrect, the Coelacanth and many other creatures are indistinguishable from millions years old fossils.*
- 3. Micro to Macro evolution IN MILLIONS OF YEARS: This is incorrect, Living fossils prove hundreds of million years changed no kinds.*
- 4. The Millions of years verified by radiometric aging processes: This is incorrect, the radiometric dating is based on unverifiable assumptions and its parameters are provisioned to yield very large ages.*

EVOLUTION “~~KEY-STONES~~” TOMB-STONES

154

5. *Geologic column shows earth's history: This is incorrect, this column was fabricated based on observations in different geological layers primarily of the grand canyon. These are sedimentary layers and the fossils are hydraulically sorted all during and right after the worldwide Noah flood which too about one year.*
6. *Polystrate tree fossils are buried in millions of years layers: This is incorrect, no dead tree would stay upright for even hundreds of years without rotting and falling down. They were buried and fossilized with the surroundings by the sedimentation from worldwide Noah food which took about a year. Similar situation is in progress at St. Helen mountain.*

EVOLUTION “~~KEY-STONES~~” TOMB-STONES

155

7. *Fossils take Millions of years to form: This is incorrect, all what is needed is mineral rich water and as little as few weeks' time. In the labs it can take few hours only.*
8. *Mutation leads to evolution: This is incorrect, mutation reduces information by introducing errors to the DNA. Evolution needs new information to improve on the species and change it to a better one. Bad mutations are 10^{77} times more than beneficiary ones, they render the DNA corrupt and extinct much faster than a good one comes.*
9. *Natural Selection leads to evolution: This is incorrect, it just weeds out bad individuals.*

EVOLUTION “~~KEY-STONES~~” TOMB-STONES

156

10. *Survival of the fittest produce evolution: This is incorrect, just another weed killer.*
11. *Homologous structures proves common ancestor: This is incorrect, it points to common designer rather than common ancestor.*
12. *The Peppered Moth Myth: This is incorrect, it claims that the light-colored moth increased due to evolution which is forgery: (A) The light-colored became inconspicuous to the birds when the trees became light-colored too and thus survived better than the dark-colored. (B) The count was anyway on the first generation (with paint sign on them) and not descendants and thus the change cannot be credited to evolution.*

EVOLUTION “~~KEY-STONES~~” TOMB-STONES

13. Vestigial structures proves evolution: This is incorrect, there are no vestigial structures anyway. Evolutionists used to call any structures which we did not understand its function yet to be vestigial, i.e., useless and was inherited from previous ancestors. 180 human body parts were classified as such; e.g., appendix we know now it important part of immune system so are the tonsils, tail bone we know now it ackers 9 important mussels. Also in male whales certain bones crucial for mating were though disappearing hip bones inherited from land animals.

EVOLUTION ~~“KEY-STONES”~~ TOMBSTONES

- 14. Homologous structures proves common ancestor: This is incorrect, it proved common designer. They develop from different gene segment sequences in the diff species. Also, more than often the homologous structures are in species which are not related to each other like the human and the octopus' eyes.*
- 15. Evolution is fact: This is definitely incorrect, all the major claimed evolution evidences are shown to be wrong and prejudice.*

CONCLUSION

- If lies are not confronted right from the beginning they will flourish
- Evolution (Darwin 1859) goes hand-in-hand with geological column (Charles Lyell 1830) which was made up by amateur who hated the Bible and before any dating process was invented
- Uniformitarianism gave birth to old universe; e.g., Grand Canyon, evolution, ..etc.
- The entrance of Colorado River today is more than 4,000 feet lower than the highest level of the canyon
- Grand Canyon is a spillway of a breach in a levy of a huge lake covering several states lift by the flood, barbed canyons proves this too
- The breech is caused by the Kaibab uplift
- The Grand Canyon layers are hydraulic precipitation during the flood

CONCLUSION CONTINUED

- The Kaibab uplift caused bending of the layers
- The layers are not broken at the bend meaning they were soft and therefore all of the layers are precipitated in short time and not separated by millions of years
- The layers are stacked like pancake, no any marks of erosion or separation which must happen if they are separated by millions of years
- In spite of all of these definite conditions the Grand Canyon is taught in the schools as made by little water during millions of years while it is clear made by lot of water in very short time could be weeks if not days
- Example of this Grand Canyon happened in our life time on a smaller scale 1:40 when mount St. Hellen erupted in 1982
- Origin of Species came right after the geological/Uniformitarianism commotion in 1859

CONCLUSION CONTINUED

- This started the theory of evolution which received wide acceptance because it was the direct blow which replaced God by time and chance
- Although it is difficult to imagine wide acceptance for lies but we have many examples in the recent past like Hitler, Mousseline, and Saline who themselves were strong believers and fans of evolution
- Even in our life time we still remember Jim Jones, People's Temple Guyana November 18, 1978 when 913 people drank willingly poisoned cool-aid
- Uniformitarianism came at the Hight of "Age of Revolution and Anti-Monarchy) when Europe was looking to get rid of all monarch and government authorities including God's authority
- It started by James Hutton's book "Theory of the Earth" in 1795

CONCLUSION CONTINUED

- Uniformitarianism established its routes and kingdom by “Principles of Geology” by Charles Lyell in 1830, a lawyer without formal geology education and way before any dating process was invented he assigned millions of year ages for different earth layers which stayed till today standards of Geology

QUIZ

1. What caused the Uniformitarianism and evolution flourished?
2. Why old universe and evolution go hand-in-hand with Uniformitarianism?
3. Give several proofs that the Grand Canyon is a spill way of a breach of the levy of huge lake caused by the flood.
4. Give example happened in our lifetime for the Grand Canyon.
5. Give examples in our lifetime for wide-spread acceptance for lies.
6. Give examples in recent history for wide-spread acceptance for lies.